



Lebanese American University Repository (LAUR)

Post-print version/Author Accepted Manuscript

Publication metadata

Title: Decision to Emigrate amongst the Youth in Lebanon

Author(s): Ghassan Dibeh, Ali Fakh, Walid Marrouch

Journal: International Migration

DOI/Link: <https://doi.org/10.1111/imig.12347>

How to cite this post-print from LAUR:

Dibeh, G., Fakh, A., & Marrouch, W. (2018). Decision to emigrate amongst the youth in Lebanon. International Migration, DOI, 10.1111/imig.12347, <http://hdl.handle.net/10725/5886>

© Year 2018

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Dibeh, G., Fakh, A., & Marrouch, W. (2018). Decision to emigrate amongst the youth in Lebanon. International Migration, which has been published in final form at DOI, 10.1111/imig.12347. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions

This Open Access post-print is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives (CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0)



This paper is posted at LAU Repository

For more information, please contact:

archives@lau.edu.lb

Decision to Emigrate Amongst the Youth in Lebanon

Ghassan Dibeh

Lebanese American University
gdibeh@lau.edu.lb

Ali Fakih

Corresponding author

Department of Economics, Lebanese American University, P.O. Box: 13-5053 Chouran, Beirut 1102
2801, Lebanon; Phone: +961-1 78 64 56, Fax: + 961-1 86 70 98, E-mail address: afakih@lau.edu.lb

Walid Marrouch

Lebanese American University
walid.marrouch@lau.edu.lb

Abstract

This paper studies the determinants of youth emigration decisions, which is considered to be one of the main causes of 'Brain Drain' in Arab Mediterranean Countries (AMCs). We focus on the case of Lebanon using a unique dataset covering young people aged 15 to 29 from the year 2016. The aim of the paper is to identify the profile of youth's propensity to emigrate from Lebanon. The empirical results indicate that youth from non-wealthy backgrounds living in smaller dwellings have a higher propensity to emigrate. It is also found that being male and unemployed has a positive incidence on migration. Moreover, university education promotes the willingness to emigrate; while residents of poor regions are more likely to express such willingness. Finally, the paper provides some insights for policymakers.

Keywords: Emigration; youth; probit model; Lebanon

Acknowledgements

The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme FP7/2007-2013 under grant agreement n° 613174 for the SAHWA Project (www.sahwa.eu).

The authors would like to thank Elena Sánchez-Montijano, the Editor Howard Duncan, and two anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments.

1. INTRODUCTION

Youth emigration, encouraged by political instability and social fractionalization, is considered one of the main causes of ‘Brain Drain’ in Arab Mediterranean Countries (AMCs) (Docquier et al., 2007). In Lebanon, youth emigration has affected negatively economic growth and the accumulation of human capital. It also acted as a channel for the youth to cope with unemployment, lack of long-term career prospects, and rigid social mobility. The objective of this paper is to explore empirically a number of socio-economic drivers behind the decision of youth to emigrate from Lebanon.

The economics literature on international migration is classified into three lines of research (Borjas, 1989; Borjas and Bratsberg, 1996): the determinants of migration flows, the integration of migrants in host countries, and the economic impact of migration in origin and host country. This study falls within the first line of research that investigates the determinants of migration flows. The study of these determinants allows policymakers to identify areas for policy intervention to mitigate the negative implications of this phenomenon. This is especially important for Lebanon, where emigration is negatively affecting the economic system and demographic structure. Specifically, we explore the role of microeconomic factors using a unique dataset from Lebanon covering young people aged 15 to 29. This empirical investigation using microdata enhances our understanding of the decision of youth to emigrate from Lebanon. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study that aims to quantify these effects using a comprehensive and representative dataset on the youth population in the country.

According to the World Bank, it is estimated that the average net migration rate in Lebanon is 21.29 per 1000 inhabitants over the period 2010-2015 (World Development Indicators, 2015).¹ Over that period, the migration rate in Lebanon is positive because of the Syrian refugees’ influx into Lebanon in the aftermath of the Syrian crisis that has been ongoing since 2011. To put that number in perspective, it is important to know that the current stock of Syrian refugees in Lebanon is around one million refugees, which is around one fourth of the Lebanese population. However, between the end of the civil war in 1990 and 2010, the rate has been either negative or zero, which indicates an underlying strong emigration trend. In 2015, the total stock of Lebanese emigrants, stood at around 1.9 million out of total population of 4.5 million according to the United Nations with females constituting around 52% of emigrants. Additional data from the

same source shows that those aged between 20 and 64 years constitute the majority of emigrants. They accounted for 50.7% of emigrants in 2015, while those below aged 20 years constituted 46% (United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division). These figures show that in Lebanon, youth emigration is an important phenomenon.

In this respect, examining factors affecting the decision of youth to emigrate calls for understanding the context that “pushes” young people to leave their country of origin (push factors) in addition to the context in the host country that creates better opportunities for the migrant youth (pull factors). Both push and pull factors can be classified into macroeconomic and microeconomic drivers. Push and pull factors are examined in studies on emigration (e.g. Jenkins, 1977; Hare, 1999; Akokpari, 2000; Cairns and Smyth, 2011). From a microeconomic or socio-economic perspective, push factors relate to the economic environment of the household in which youth live, while pull factors are intimately related to the macroeconomic ones in host countries since they define the expected living conditions of the emigrant.² In fact, household characteristics represent a major economic factor that pushes young people to emigrate. Such factors include parents’ income, the size of the household, geographic location, among others. Exploring these microeconomic factors is the main objective of this paper. This paper aims at understanding the role of socio-economic factors, which may affect the propensity to emigrate amongst the youth in Lebanon. Specifically, it focuses on the implications of youth, household, and regional characteristics using a probit model.

The remainder of the paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, we provide a historical background on emigration in Lebanon. In Section 3, an overview of the related literature on the determinants of migration is presented. In Section 4, we present the research methodology including the data, the variables of interest, and the estimation techniques. In Section 5, we present the empirical results. In Section 6, we discuss policy implications and concluding remarks.

2. HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

Modern Lebanese emigration goes back to the 19th century and has witnessed four migratory waves. During the 19th century, Lebanese mostly migrated to Latin America escaping internal and external conflicts and the dire conditions generated by economic crises in hope of seeking

better living conditions and fortunes abroad. In contrast, today, highly skilled Lebanese choose to move to Arab Gulf countries such as Bahrain, Kuwait, Qatar, Saudi Arabia and United Arab Emirates (Migration Policy Center, 2013) in search of jobs and higher wages. As such, each wave have had different characteristics and patterns, yet, almost all waves had a predominantly youth component.

The first wave took place under the Ottoman Empire between 1880 and 1914, which was promoted by accommodating immigration policies in the Americas towards Christian subjects of the Ottoman Empire. Emigration began to increase during the second half of the 19th century long before the creation of the state of Lebanon. 'Syrio-Lebanese' was the term used to describe the emigrants from Ottoman provinces of Greater Syria. During that period, it was estimated that around 100,000 'Syrio-Lebanese' left their home country. In the 1890s, Lebanese migration was not simply restricted to searching for a better life; young Lebanese migrated to avoid Ottoman military drafts as well. Others chose to migrate to emulate the success of their earlier brethren living abroad (Fersan, 2010). Proto-Lebanese migrants mainly left to Egypt and the United States; usually settling in New York, Philadelphia, and Chicago. Afterwards, the level of emigration from Mount Lebanon (mainly Christian) decreased. This drop, coupled with an increase in population growth engendered by a fast fall in the mortality rate, pushed inhabitants in Mount Lebanon to move to the provincial capital Beirut in search for better job prospects. As a result, the population in Beirut quadrupled between 1830 and 1850, and then it doubled again between 1865 and 1920. This led to a huge demographic imbalance and pushed less educated people to search for jobs outside what later became known as Greater Lebanon.³ Many researches emphasize that by 1914, at least a quarter of the Lebanese population were emigrants. This had an impact on economy and society. Specifically, it affected employment, wages, prices and productivity patterns (Owen, 1992).

The second wave took place under the French Mandate and in the post-independence period (1918-1974). After the end of World War I, specifically between 1930 and 1940, the number of Lebanese emigrants decreased, due to migration quotas imposed by the United States in 1924. Moreover, Lebanon's economic stability under the French mandate (1918-1943) encouraged the Lebanese to stay in their home country (Owen, 1992). However, interestingly, the number of emigrant women increased substantially during this wave. The new destinations for Lebanese were Australia and West Africa (Fersan, 2010). After Lebanon's Independence in 1943,

emigration continued to slow down but did not come to a halt. In the 1960s, emigration started to increase again after the Arab-Israeli war of 1967. This movement was engendered by the high unemployment rates and the increases in the cost of living in Lebanon during that period. Additionally, there was a nascent high demand for skilled labour in Arab oil-producing countries resulting from the booming oil industry, which culminated in the young Lebanese seeking employment in Saudi Arabia and Kuwait (Tabar, 2010).

The third wave took place during the Lebanese Civil War (1975-1990). Several factors such as political violence, forced displacement and the deterioration of economic conditions during the war pushed the young Lebanese to emigrate. The pace of emigration increased after the severe economic crisis that hit Lebanon in the mid-1980s that was accompanied by large-scale currency devaluation and hyperinflation, in addition to a large increase in unemployment rate (Fersan, 2010). By the end of the war in 1990, and despite the return of some migrants either permanently or temporarily, it was clear that this war-induced emigration became more permanent.

The fourth and latest wave took place in the post-Civil War era (1990-Present). The 'Brain Drain' started to affect Lebanon during the 1990s where highly educated youth were driven to seek better employment opportunities and higher salaries abroad. During this wave, emigration increased as a result of poverty, corruption, political instability, housing crises, and the continuous rise of public debt (Fersan, 2010).⁴ In addition, continuous internal and external hostilities contributed to emigration during this period. The recent economic pressures and political instability that started in 2005 after the assassination of former prime minister Rafic Hariri, the summer 2006 Lebanon-Israel war, and the influx of Syrian refugees in the aftermath of the Syrian crisis that started in 2011 are all important events that have constrained the growth potential and job creation prospects of the Lebanese economy. For example, in the aftermath of the Lebanon-Israel war in summer 2006, 53.4% of those who emigrated did so in search of better job opportunities, 8.8% seeking advanced education, 25.4% due to family reasons while security and politics motivated 13.4% of them (Kasparian, 2010). This latest wave, which started in the 1990s, is the most youth orientated and mostly concerned with socio-economic problems. Interestingly, our data covers observations within this latest fourth wave of emigration.

3. MACRO AND MICRO DETERMINANTS OF MIGRATION

In this section, we overview the main determinants of the decision of migrate. We first start by looking into macroeconomic factors, and then we focus on micro socio-economic determinants, which is the focus of this paper.

3.1 Macroeconomic determinants

Studies on macroeconomic determinants have focused on role of the aggregate economy (Akokpari, 2000), demographics (e.g. Hatton and Williamson, 2002; Mayda, 2010; Dreher et al., 2011), political stability (e.g. Hix and Noury, 2007; Dimant et al., 2013), divergence in economic systems (Balaz et al., 2004) and the environment (e.g. Beine and Parsons, 2015; Coniglio and Giovanni, 2015; Maurel and Tuccio, 2016). These factors reflect the economic environment of the country, which are reflected by indicators related to institutions, economic activity, political stability, and employment levels.

Currently, Lebanon is witnessing a number of problems ranging from large-scale corruption in the government apparatus, slow economic growth, lack of job creation, poor public institutions, high levels of youth unemployment rate, to the Syrian crisis and its effects on the Lebanese society. In fact, Lebanon ranks 123rd on the corruption index out of 175 countries listed from the least to the most corrupt (Transparency International, 2015). These macroeconomic factors play a role in pushing the youth to emigrate. According to the World Bank (2012), the creation of jobs in the decade predating to the report was inadequate and informality has risen substantially. In the same report, estimates show that in the following 10 years the Lebanese economy needs to create five times the amount of jobs currently created in order to absorb the new entrants into the labour market. The employment gap is estimated at 15,000 jobs yearly. Moreover, the report says that “Between 1997 and 2009, GDP [Gross Domestic Product] grew at an average of 3.7 percent per year but employment expanded only by 1.1 percent. This indicates an employment-growth elasticity of only 0.2, which is considerably lower than those observed in other countries in the region – South-Asia, Latin America, and Sub-Saharan Africa” (World Bank 2012, p. 14). In this respect, latest figures from the World Bank show that male and female youth unemployment rates in 2014 were 18.7% and 24.7%, respectively (World Bank Development

Indicators, 2015) and it is the highest in the world compared to all other economic region (Fakih and Ghazalian, 2015a).

The World Bank (2012) considers youth unemployment an endemic problem in the Lebanese economy. It says “At one extreme are youth (age bracket 15-24) whose alarming unemployment rate – 34 percent is explained by high entry rates. This high entry rate is, in part, a consequence of demographics; the growing working age population. The results suggest that youth entering the labour market are able to find jobs faster than other age groups, but the jobs they get, probably informal jobs or self-employment activities, are of short duration” (p. 17).

The causes of youth unemployment are multifaceted. These are inequalities in the educational system, low demand for skilled workers, and the high share of informal employment (Kawar and Tzannatos, 2012). Moreover, according to Kawar and Tzannatos (2012), there is a weak match between education and work, which is an important determinant of youth employment and compensation. In general, only 40% of graduates work in jobs that match very well their qualifications while 20% work in occupations that do not match their educational fields. Moreover, the labour market is fluid as evidenced by the fact that currently the youth will have moved between 10 and 14 jobs by age 38. Moreover, the wage premium (as a measure of return to human capital) generated by education is low as the pay between educated and the non-educated is not very large.

In this respect, low wages in Lebanon also present a challenge to youth who face high costs of living. The cost of living reached extreme levels in the capital city Beirut after the civil war period. Beirut was ranked the 44th most expensive major metropolitan area in the cost of living city ranking (Mercer, 2015). On the other hand, host countries provide elements that pull people to emigrate. Lebanese youth emigrate for better wage premiums with higher expected market return. These opportunities are found in the United States, Canada, Australia, European Union (EU) countries, and the Gulf countries.

3.2 Microeconomic (socio-economic) determinants

Studies on microeconomic determinants of emigration have focused on the role of households and their perceptions about macroeconomic factors affecting their decisions to emigrate. To study the latter, empirical models based on household level surveys that control for household

and youth characteristics are used. According to Rodriguez and Tiongson (2001), household characteristics play a decisive role in the decision to migrate. Such a decision has a number of implications on a household's allocation of resources and labour. This is to say that the decision to leave the country is not entirely related to youth characteristics where youth is targeting to maximize his/her own benefit, but also the decision is derived from the overall welfare level of the household (see Becker, 1981).

A survey of the microeconomic determinants of migration shows that gender, marital status, educational level, participation in the labour force, income level of the parents and youth, age, and household size are among the main indicators used in empirical studies. In one of the earliest studies, Gráda (1986), using a logit model to estimate the probability to migrate, finds that there is no difference between males and females in the decision to emigrate from Ireland, while the number of jobs held by the respondents is found to increase the likelihood to emigrate. Funkhouser (1992) finds that in Nicaragua emigration is increasing in household size, education, and age. In addition, emigrants are more likely to come from white-collar groups. Papapanagos and Sanfey (2001) use data from Albania to examine the determinants of the migration decision. Their results indicate that males and the educated are more likely to migrate, while age is found to have a negative effect. Moreover, their results show that income is not correlated with the decision to leave the country. Epstein and Gang (2006) examine the effects of household and friends' behaviour on the decision to leave the country. Using data from Hungary, they find that male, younger, more educated individuals and those who live in urban areas are more likely to leave. However, they find that labour force participation did not affect the probability to migrate. In his paper, Hoti (2009) studies the determinants of emigration in Kosovo using a probit regression. He finds that younger people are more likely to emigrate, while marital status shows a mixed result between males and females. Household size is positively correlated with the decision to emigrate. Interestingly, the results show no significant difference between rural and urban areas and surprisingly, income is found to have no significant effect. In their seminal paper, Kennan and Walker (2011) develop a dynamic model of migration to explain the optimal sequences of the decision to migrate. Using US data from the National Longitudinal Survey of Youth, they conclude that the migration decision between states is substantially related to expected income. Gibson and McKenzie (2011) use a unique dataset on the best and brightest academic performers to examine the determinants of their migration from three pacific countries.

The results reveal interesting findings. They indicate that the decision to move for this category of skilled people is highly correlated with their field of study in secondary schools. However, their decision is not correlated with income. In addition, gender is not found to be a significant variable.

More recently, Kahanec and Fabo (2013) use the Eurobarometer data to examine the determinants of the probability of youth to emigrate from one EU country to another. The findings show that married youth and males with children are more likely to migrate; however age is found to have a negative impact, while educational level is not an important driver for the decision to migrate inside the EU. Fetzner and Millan (2015) use data from Singapore and find that religion is not an important determinant for the decision to emigrate, while education is positively correlated with the decision, nonetheless age is found to be negatively correlated. The results also indicate that there is no difference between males and females' decisions. David and Jarreau (2016) examine the same research question using a probit regression with data from Egypt. They find that the wealthier is the household, the more likely is the individual to emigrate. Only secondary and tertiary education are found to be positively correlated with the emigration decision. They also find that being unemployed or underemployed are significant determinants. Bazillier and Boboc (2016) use a probit regression with data from the European Social Survey (2008) and find that gender and marital status are insignificant determinants of the emigration decision, while age has a negative impact. Their results also lend support to the Brain Drain hypothesis where skilled workers are more likely to emigrate than unskilled ones. Finally, being in an urban setting increases the propensity to emigrate.

Although, the above survey of the socio-economic literature on migration provides mixed evidence on the determinants of emigration, it allows us to identify the most relevant factors behind the decision to emigrate, which guides our empirical examination in this paper. Indeed, this survey suggests that gender, age, employment status, educational level, and financial status of the youth are all important drivers of emigration. It also suggests that household and regional characteristics are all important drivers. This paper adds to the above-mentioned strand of literature by providing new evidence from an AMC country, which is also a developing one.

4. DATA AND METHODOLOGY

4.1 Data

In this paper, we use a unique and novel dataset from Lebanon. The data is retrieved from the SAHWA Youth Survey (2016), which is a nationally representative survey of 2,000 young respondents aged from 15 to 29 within private households.⁵

The survey covers all six governorates of Lebanon. Each governorate is stratified into districts and then into villages and towns to ensure a proper representation in each geographic unit. The survey adopts a multi-stage probability sampling procedure to ensure a random, representative sample for identifying households and main respondents. The thematic axes around which the survey revolves are education, employment and social inclusion, political engagement, culture and values, international migration and mobility, gender.

4.2 Variables and summary statistics

The dependent variable under examination is defined as a binary variable taking the value one if the youth respondent indicated a willingness to emigrate or re-emigrate and takes the value of zero otherwise. Hence, our dependent variable signifies intentions to emigrate or to re-emigrate. The independent variables include youth, household, and regional characteristics. Specifically, we include the gender of the respondent defined as a binary variable that is equal to one if the respondent is male and zero otherwise. Unemployment status is captured by a binary variable that is equal to one if the respondent is unemployed and zero otherwise. The educational level of the respondent is also controlled for by a binary variable equal to one when the youth has university education and zero otherwise.⁶ A binary variable representing the financial support received from parents is also included, while another binary variable that captures explicit socio-economic concerns of the respondent is included. Household characteristics are captured by two independent variables. First, we create a dummy variable indicating whether or not the respondent has a capitalist parent.⁷ This variable captures the occupation of the parents where the most financially capable occupation is the one where the parent(s) own their own businesses, which employ other workers. Second, we create a variable related to the roominess of the dwelling, which considers the ratio of the number of rooms in the household to its size in terms of inhabitants. This variable captures the assets of the household where the youth reside.

Although both the capitalist and the roominess variables capture the wealth effect, they nevertheless do so in different ways since the capitalist variable reflects the occupation of the parents, while roominess captures their tangible assets.

Independent variables also include regional variables capturing the geographic distribution of the respondents. They include six regional dummies capturing all the Lebanese governorates. The residence area of the respondent is an additional variable that is captured by a binary variable that is equal to one when the residence is located in an urban setting and zero when it is in a rural one. Finally, we include a macroeconomic variable to control for regional differences in individual incomes across the six Lebanese governorates, which is captured by average regional individual expenditure. This latter variable is retrieved from the National Household Budget Survey (2012) of the Central Administration of Statistics (CAS).⁸

Table 1 shows the percentage of Lebanese youth expressing their willingness to emigrate in 2016 by characteristic. We observe that those among the youth, who have a capitalist parent and willing to emigrate, represent around 6% of the total sample. We also observe that 67% of those pro-emigration respondents are male, while 11% are unemployed and 41% of them have a university level of education. The data shows that around 38% of pro-emigration Lebanese youth have received financial support from their parents, while around 88% of them have explicitly voiced some socio-economic concerns. We notice only around 7% of the Lebanese youth who are willing to emigrate are from the capital city Beirut, while Mount Lebanon governorate represents the highest share of around 24%. The poorest governorate 'North', also shows a high figure of around 22%. Finally, the data indicates that around 72% of those willing to emigrate live in urban areas.

[Insert Table 1 here]

Table 2 presents the descriptive statistics of the variables in 2016 that are used in our empirical analysis. The dependent variable shows that 16.5% of the respondents are willing to emigrate. Household characteristics show that 8.6% of youth have a capitalist parent. The average number of rooms per household inhabitant is equivalent to 1.3 rooms. This may suggest that the typical Lebanese household is not overcrowded. Moving to youth characteristics, we find that 50.3% of the youth respondents are male, 6.5% of them are employed, 38.1% have a university level education, 50% have received financial support from parents, and 80% voice explicit socio-

economic concerns. We find that 9.6% of the surveyed youth live in Beirut, 46.4% live in Mount Lebanon governorate, and 11.2% live in the poorest region, which is the North governorate. In addition, we observe that 77.2% of youth live in urban areas. Finally, the average regional individual expenditure is equal to 7.8 million Lebanese Pounds (US \$5,175) in the year 2012.

[Insert Table 2 here]

Table 3 represents the correlation matrix between some variables of interest. Although, simple correlations do not point to any form of causality, they nonetheless provide a preliminary indication about the sign of the relationship between the variables when the correlations are statistically significant. We find that being male and having socio-economic concerns are positively correlated with the willingness to emigrate. However, financial support received from parents is negatively correlated with that willingness. It is interesting to note that having a capitalist parent and receiving financial support from parents are positively correlated. Finally, being unemployed is positively correlated with receiving financial support from parents.

[Insert Table 3 here]

4.3 Empirical model

The empirical investigation aims at identifying factors affecting the propensity of youth to emigrate. Let E_i^* denote the prospective utility from emigrating accruing to the youth i ($i = 1, \dots, I$) who resides in governorate g ($g = 1, \dots, G$). However, the variable E_i^* is a latent variable and not observed in the data. Instead, what is observed is the decision to emigrate in a future stage. Therefore, we use a probit specification to model the propensity to emigrate. The probit model can be presented as:

$$E_i^* = H_i\beta + Y_i\alpha + Z_g + u_i,$$

With the following probit rule:

$$E_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } E_i^* \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } E_i^* < 0 \end{cases},$$

where E_i is a binary variable that equals one if the youth respondent indicated a willingness to emigrate and zero otherwise, H_i is the vector of variables representing household characteristics where the youth resides, Y_i is a vector of variables representing youth characteristics, Z_g is the national indicator of governorate g , and u_i is the error term. The error terms are clustered by region in order to improve the efficiency of the standard errors. Finally, β and α are vectors of households and youth parameters to be estimated.

The above probit model is estimated using the cumulative standard normal distribution function represented as follows:

$$E(E_i | H_i, Y_i, Z_g) = \Phi(H_i\beta + Y_i\alpha + Z_g)$$

5. EMPIRICAL RESULTS

The estimation results of the probit model are presented in Table 4. Column (1) controls for household characteristics, while column (2) controls for regional effects only. In columns (2) and (3), we combine both household and regional characteristics. However, in column (4) we control for youth characteristics only, while column (5) combines household and youth characteristics and column (6) shows the results of both youth and regional characteristics. Finally, column (7) presents the full model that includes youth, household, and regional characteristics. The last column, i.e. column (8), controls for a relevant macroeconomic indicator, which is the average regional individual expenditure, while keeping both youth and household characteristics.

[Insert Table 4 here]

The design of our dataset allows us to control for two interesting variables, which are: capitalist parent and roominess of the dwelling. These variables are specifically relevant for developing countries that are usually characterized by the existence of what we can call two parallel economic systems where youth from poor and marginalized backgrounds are excluded from economic opportunities. The results of the household characteristics show that having a capitalist parent decreases significantly the propensity of youth to emigrate across all eight specifications. The marginal effect ranges from 0.034 in column (8) to 0.059 in column (1) indicating that having a capitalist parent decreases the propensity of youth to emigrate. The range of decrease is

between 3.4 and 5.9 percentage points. Moving to roominess, the results also reveal negative and significant results in all specifications. In the full models (7) and (8), we find that a one hundred percentage point increase in roominess decreases the propensity to emigrate by around five percentage points. Thus, less crowded households will make the youth less likely to seek to emigrate.

Turning to youth characteristics, we find that being male increases the propensity of youth to emigrate by an average of 10 percentage points. This result is significant across all specifications in columns (4) to (8) in line with the findings of Papapanagos and Sanfey (2001) from Albania, Epstein and Gang (2006) from Hungary, and Kahanec and Fabo (2013) from the EU but contradicts those of Gráda (1986) from Ireland and Hoti (2009) from Kosovo. Being unemployed is also positively correlated with the willingness to emigrate in line with recent evidence from other Arab Mediterranean countries such as Egypt (David and Jarreau, 2016). Unemployment increases the propensity to emigrate by around 14 percentage points in the full model presented in columns (7) and (8). Having a university education level increases the propensity to emigrate by around 4.4 percentage points in line with the findings of Funkhouser (1992) from Nicaragua, Papapanagos and Sanfey (2001), Epstein and Gang (2006), and Fetzer and Millan (2015) from Singapore. However, the result on education is not in line with evidence reported by Kahanec and Fabo (2013) from EU, since in their case, would be emigrants are moving inside the same economic region, which is the EU. Yet, in Lebanon, higher education is seen as a mean to increase the chances of winning what we can call the ‘emigration lottery’ and realizing the wage premiums that education potentially entitles them to. Indeed, according to the results from the SAHWA ethnographic study in Lebanon (National Case Study for Lebanon, SAHWA Project, 2016) a number of interviewed youth, who have university level education, expressed serious concerns about their ability to find jobs that match their education profile in Lebanon. Stylized facts reported by Kawar and Tzannatos (2012) support this idea where Lebanon ranks first in Middle East countries in terms of high skilled emigration rate to Organization of Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) and Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) countries.

Interestingly, the results indicate that youth receiving financial support from parents are less likely to emigrate. The corresponding marginal effect implies a decrease by 7.7 percentage points in the full model that is reported in column (7) and 7.9 percentage points in column (8).

This result seems to suggest that the wealth level of the household is taken into consideration by youth when he or she decides to emigrate (David and Jarreau, 2016). This effect reinforces the negative effect of having a capitalist parent has on the willingness to emigrate. Finally, the socio-economic concerns of youth indicate a positive marginal effect. Specifically, the results reveal that having explicit socio-economic concerns increases the propensity to emigrate by 4.5 and 4.2 percentage points in columns (7) and (8), respectively.

The estimation of the effects of the regional distribution across governorates shows interesting results. Compared to the capital city Beirut, which is taken as the reference group, we find that the poorest governorates i.e. North and Beqaa have the highest propensity to migrate. However, youth living in Mount Lebanon governorate, which is considered a relatively rich region compared to other regions, are less inclined to emigrate. Finally, living in the South governorate leads to a positive but small effect on the willingness to emigrate. Moreover, urbanism seems not be a relevant determinant in the decision to emigrate. The corresponding marginal effect shows a negative implication on the decision to emigrate, however, this effect is only significant at the 10% level of significance in columns (2) and (3). This result becomes insignificant when running the full model in column (7) suggesting that there is no difference between youth living in rural or urban areas with regards to their decision to emigrate. This latter result is not in line with the findings on Hungary by Epstein and Gang (2006) and on Egypt by David and Jarreau (2016), which have a much larger rural population than Lebanon.

Finally, the effect of the macroeconomic indicator that measures the average regional expenditure is negative and significant as shown in column (8). Specifically, we find that increasing the regional individual expenditure by 10 percentage points reduces the propensity to emigrate by 0.81 percentage points. This result reinforces the results stemming from the examination of the regional distribution of youth, which indicates that poorer areas are more likely to host young persons with a stronger desire to emigrate.

6. CONCLUSIONS

This paper identifies a number of labour market and socio-economic constraints, which negatively impact youth employability, pushing young Lebanese to increase their willingness to emigrate. This type of migration has drawn considerable attention among academics and

policymakers (Docquier et al., 2007). Using a unique dataset on youth, we establish a socio-economic profile for a typical young Lebanese who demonstrates a willingness to emigrate. The empirical results reveal that youth from non-wealthy backgrounds living in smaller dwellings have a higher propensity to emigrate. This result points to the fact that ownership of capital increases the parents' ability to provide their dependents with enhanced economic conditions and acts as a deterrent to emigration of youth living in well-off households. It is also found that being male and unemployed has a positive incidence on migration. Moreover, university education promotes the willingness to emigrate; while residents of poor regions are more likely to express such willingness. Overall, the willingness to emigrate can be seen as a proxy for severe constraints limiting the economic opportunities of youth. The large heterogeneity in employment conditions between Lebanon and host countries acts hence as gradient driving migration flows as it solves the national problem of labour market mismatch and the poor working conditions of the home country as advanced by Bazillier and Boboc (2016).

The quantitative results from our paper are in line with the qualitative results from the ethnographic fieldwork on Lebanese youth (National Case Study for Lebanon, SAHWA Project, 2016). As we found in this paper, the poorest regions showed the highest propensity to migrate, while those youth with explicit socio-economic concerns did the same. Indeed, the majority of participants in the focus group meetings have reported that the current educational system, nepotism and favouritism, as well as the available jobs constitute the major problems facing youth in Lebanon. In other words, there is a similarity between macroeconomic concerns expressed in the ethnographic study and the quantitative results that emerged from the socio-economic analysis in our paper. This suggests that socio-economic concerns such as aversion to corruption and weak political representation and lack of opportunities in poor areas including unemployment are in line with macroeconomic problems that shape the life of youth in Lebanon. These observations also coincide with the observations made on the role that connections within the Lebanese political system has on economic outcomes (Stel and Naudé, 2016). These problems, among others discussed earlier, constitute the major constraints pushing Lebanese youth to voice their willingness to migrate which in the end aims at enhancing their ability to have better job and life prospects.

From a macroeconomic perspective it is known that youth emigration, despite having a positive effect on financial flows into the country in form of immigrant remittances, constitutes a brain drain that affects negatively economic growth and human capital accumulation. Therefore, policy interventions are needed to abate the propensity to migrate to order to avoid these negative macroeconomic implications. Yet, policy interventions to be effective need to be tailored at the socio-economic level. Hence, our paper, which clarifies the determinants of youth emigration in Lebanon, helps policy makers identify various points of policy interventions to deal with the problem of emigration. The interventions are mainly to be implemented in the areas of education and the labour market. Such policies are; first, improving the education-to-work transitions for young people; second, solving the skill mismatch problem in the labour market by devising policies that encourage job creation in productive sectors that create demand for skilled workers; third, reducing regional disparities in income and wealth; and finally, instituting a wage-led growth regime (Lavoie and Stockhammer, 2012; Fakhri and Ghazalian, 2015b) that would improve wages that would solve both the education premium problem in Lebanon, in addition to creating a dynamic economy with good job creation prospects (Fakhri and Marrouch, 2015). Finally, these policy implications re-inforce those discussed recently by Dibeh *et al.* (2016) who conclude that there are three severe employment constraints that affect youth employability in Lebanon, which are the rigidity of labour regulations in addition to the skill mismatch problem and poor governance in public institutions.

NOTES

1. This rate represents the difference between the number of immigrants and emigrants of a country during a period of time (typically a year), per 1,000 inhabitants. A positive value indicates more people entering the country than leaving it, while a negative value means more people leaving than entering it.
2. These factors can also include modifications of the migration policy in the host country.
3. In 1920, Greater Lebanon (Le Grand Liban) was established as a national state under the French mandate (1918-1943).
4. The latest figures show a persistent average poverty rate for Lebanon of 27% (CAS and World Bank, 2015).
5. It is relevant to note that the survey does not include refugees living in Lebanon.
6. By considering a binary variable for unemployment and university education, we aim to identify the pure effect of labour force participation and the pure effect of the highest level of education. It is important to mention that having a university degree is considered a key asset for youth in Lebanon since it allows him or her to be a potential worker in the host country, while having lower education such as a secondary education is not considered as a good fit for a white-collar emigrant profile.

7. We define a capitalist parent as a father who is both self-employed and an employer of others.

8. It should be mentioned that National Household Budget Survey (2012) is the latest available national survey covering Lebanese households.

REFERENCES

- Akokpari, J. K.
2000 “Globalisation and migration in Africa”, *African Sociological Review/Revue Africaine de Sociologie*, 4 : 72–92.
- Balaz, V., et al.,
2004 “Temporary versus permanent youth brain drain: economic implications”, *International Migration*, 42(4): 3–34.
- Bazillier, R., and B. Cristina
2016 “Labour migration as a way to escape from employment vulnerability? Evidence from the European Union”, *Applied Economics Letters*, 23(16): 1149–1152.
- Becker, G.
2009 *A Treatise on the Family*. Harvard: University Press.
- Beine, M., and P. Christopher
2015 “Climatic factors as determinants of international migration”, *Scandinavian Journal of Economics*, 117(2): P.723–767.
- Borjas, G. J.
1989 “Economic theory and international migration”, *International Migration Review*, 23(3): 457–485.
- Borjas, G. J., and B. Bratsberg
1996 “Who leaves? The outmigration of the foreign-born”, *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 78(1): P.165–176.
- Cairns, D., and J. Smyth
2011 “I wouldn’t mind moving actually: Exploring Student Mobility in Northern Ireland”, *International Migration*, 49(2): 135–161.
- CAS and World Bank
2015 *Snapshot of Poverty and Labor Outcomes in Lebanon*. Beirut, Lebanon.
- Coniglio, N. D., and P., Giovanni
2015 “Climate variability and international migration: an empirical analysis”, *Environment and Development Economics*, 20(4): 434–468.
- David, A., and J., Joachim
2016 “Determinants of emigration: Evidence from Egypt”. Economic research forum (ERF) – Egypt, (on-line) [Date accessed 8.10.2016] erf.org.eg/wp-content/uploads/2016/04/987.pdf
- Dibeh, G., et al.
2016 “The Poor and Marginalized in Lebanon: Labor Market Challenges and Policy Implications”. Sahwa Policy Paper, 01, CIDOB – Barcelona (on-line) [Date accessed 9.9.2016] <http://www.sahwa.eu/NEWS/SAHWA-s-Policy-Paper-on-labour-market-in-Lebanon-now-online>

- Dimant, E., et al.
 2013 “The effect of corruption on migration, 1985–2000”, *Applied Economics Letters*, 20(13): 1270–1274.
- Docquier, F., et al.
 2007 “Brain drain in developing countries”, *World Bank Economic Review*, 21(2): 193–218.
- Dreher, A., et al.
 2011 “Hit and (they will) run: The impact of terrorism on migration”, *Economics Letters*, 113(1): 42–46.
- Epstein, G. S., and I. N. Gang
 2006 “The influence of others on migration plans”, *Review of Development Economics*, 10(4): 652–665.
- Fakih, A., and P.L. Ghazalian
 2015a “Female employment in MENA’s manufacturing sector: the implications of firm-related and national factors”, *Economic Change and Restructuring*, 48(1): 37–69.
- Fakih, A., and P.L. Ghazalian
 2015b “What factors influence firm perceptions of labour market constraints to growth in the MENA region?”, *International Journal of Manpower*, 36(8): 1181–1206.
- Fakih, A., and W. Marrouch
 2015 “The electricity consumption, employment and growth nexus: evidence from Lebanon”, *OPEC Energy Review*, 39(3), pp.298–321.
- Fersan, E.
 2010 “Syro-Lebanese migration (1880-present): “push” and “pull” factors”, *Migration in the Mashreq, Viewpoints*, Middle East Institute, Washington, D.C.: 13–17.
- Fetzer, J. S., and A. B. Millan
 2015 “The causes of emigration from Singapore: How much is still political?”, *Critical Asian Studies*, 47(3); P.462–476.
- Funkhouser, E.
 1992 “Migration from Nicaragua: some recent evidence”, *World Development*, 20(8): 1209–1218.
- Gibson, J., and D. McKenzie
 2011 “The microeconomic determinants of emigration and return migration of the best and brightest: Evidence from the Pacific”, *Journal of Development Economics*, 95(1): 18–29.
- Hare, D.
 1999 “Push versus pull factors in migration outflows and returns: Determinants of migration status and spell duration among China’s rural population”, *Journal of Development Studies*, 35(3): 45–72.

Hatton, T. J., and J. G. Williamson

2002 “Out of Africa? Using the past to project African emigration pressure in the future”, *Review of International Economics*, 10(3): 556–573.

Hix, S., and A. Noury

2007 “Politics, not economic interests: Determinants of migration policies in the European Union”, *International Migration Review*, 41(1): 182–205.

Hoti, A.

2009 “Determinants of emigration and its economic consequences: evidence from Kosova”, *Southeast European and Black Sea Studies*, 9(4): 435–458.

Jenkins, J. C.

1977 “Push/pull in recent Mexican migration to the US”, *International Migration Review*, 11(2): 178–189.

Kahanec, M. and B. Fabo

2013 “Migration strategies of crisis-stricken youth in an enlarged European Union”, *Transfer: European Review of Labour and Research*, 19(3): 365–380.

Kasparian, C.

2010 “L’émigration des jeunes Libanais hautement qualifiés”, *European University Institute*.

Kawar, M., and Z. Tzannatos

2012 “Youth Employment in Lebanon: Skilled and Jobless”. *LCPS Policy Paper*, Beirut, Lebanon.

Kennan, J., and J. R. Walker

2011 “The effect of expected income on individual migration decisions”, *Econometrica*, 79(1): 211–251.

Lavoie, M., and E. Stockhammer

2012 “Wage-led growth: Concept, theories and policies”, *ILO Conditions of Work and employment Series No. 41*.

Maurel, M., and M. Tuccio

2016 “Climate instability, urbanisation and international migration”, *Journal of Development Studies*, 52(5): 735–752.

Mayda, A. M.

2010 “International migration: A panel data analysis of the determinants of bilateral flows”, *Journal of Population Economics*, 23(4): 1249–1274.

MERCER.

2015 “Cost of living city ranking”, (on-line) [Date accessed 4.8.2016] Retrieved from <https://www.imercer.com/uploads/GM/col2015/f123654/index.html>

MPC

2013 Team Migration Policy Center, Lebanon; P. 1–2.

NCS

2016 National Case Study for Lebanon, SAHWA Project, (NCS-LB-1).

Gráda, C. Ó.

1986 “Determinants of Irish emigration: a note”, *International Migration Review*, 20(): 650–656.

Owen, R.

1992 “Lebanese migration in the context of world population movements”, in Albert Hourani and Nabil Shehadi (eds). *Lebanese Migration in the World: A Century of Emigration: 605–626*. London: Centre for Lebanese Studies.

Papapanagos, H., and P. Sanfey

2001 “Intention to emigrate in transition countries: the case of Albania”, *Journal of Population Economics*, 14(3): 491–504.

Rodriguez, E., and E. Tiongson

2001 “Temporary migration overseas and household labor supply: evidence from urban Philippines”, *International Migration Review*, 35(3): 709–725.

SAHWA Youth Survey

2016 Barcelona Centre for International Studies (CIDOB), Barcelona, Spain.

Stel, N., and W. Naudé

2016 “Public–private entanglement: entrepreneurship in Lebanon’s hybrid political order”, *Journal of Development Studies*, 52(2): 254–268.

Tabar, P.

2010 “Lebanon: A country of Emigration and Immigration”, *Institute for Migration Studies*.

Transparency International

2015 “Corruption Perceptions Index”, (on-line) [Date accessed 4.8.2016] <https://www.transparency.org/>

United Nations

2015 “Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division”, (on-line) [Date accessed 4.8.2016] <https://esa.un.org/unmigration/>

World Bank

2012 Lebanon: Good Jobs Needed: MILES Report. *World Bank Publications*.

World Bank

2015 “World Development Indicators (WDI) Database”, World Bank – Washington DC (), (on-line) [Date accessed 4.8.2016] <http://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SM.POP.NETM>

Table 1: Percentage of youth expressing their willingness to emigrate by characteristic

Variable	Percentage
<i>Youth willing to emigrate:</i>	
With a capitalist parent	5.94
Is male	66.56
Is unemployed	10.63
Has a university education	41.25
Has financial support from parents	38.44
Has socio-economic concerns	88.44
 <i>Youth willing to emigrate are from:</i>	
Beirut	6.88
Mount Lebanon	24.38
North	22.19
Akkar	6.25
South	12.81
Nabatieh	7.50
Baalbek	7.81
Beqaa	12.19
 <i>Youth willing to emigrate are from:</i>	
Urban areas	71.56
<i>Number of observations</i>	2,000

Table 2: Descriptive statistics of variables used in the analysis

Variable	Mean	Standard Deviation
Dependent variable		
Youth willing to emigrate	0.165	0.371
Household characteristics		
With a capitalist parent	0.086	0.281
Roominess: ratio of number of rooms to household size	1.295	0.653
Youth characteristics		
Male	0.503	0.500
Unemployed	0.065	0.247
University education	0.381	0.486
Financial support from parents	0.500	0.500
Socio-economic concerns	0.798	0.401
Regional dummies		
<i>Capital city</i>		
Beirut	0.096	0.294
<i>Governorates</i>		
Mount Lebanon	0.464	0.499
North	0.112	0.316
Akkar	0.047	0.212
South	0.099	0.299
Nabatieh	0.068	0.252
Baalbek	0.054	0.227
Beqaa	0.055	0.229
Residence area		
Urban	0.772	0.420
Macro variable		
Average regional individual expenditures (Millions LBP)	7796.362	1008.862
<i>Number of observations</i>	2,000	

Table 3: Correlation matrix

	Youth willing to emigrate	With a capitalist parent	Number of rooms/Household size ratio	Male	Unemployed	University education	Financial support from parents	Socio-economic concerns
Youth willing to emigrate	1.000							
With a capitalist parent	-0.045	1.000						
Roominess: ratio of number of rooms to household size	-0.053	-0.002	1.000					
Male	0.146*	0.000	-0.007	1.000				
Unemployed	0.075	-0.038	-0.035	-0.054	1.000			
University education	0.032	0.052	0.136*	-0.015	-0.049	1.000		
Financial support from parents	-0.102*	0.137*	-0.194*	-0.013	0.183*	-0.028	1.000	
Socio-economic concerns	0.094*	0.066	-0.075	0.059	0.030	0.062	0.079	1.000

Notes: Correlation coefficients with Bonferroni-adjusted significance levels. *=10%; **=5%; ***=1%.

Table 4: The determinants of the youth emigration decision (probit model, marginal effects)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Household characteristics								
With a capitalist parent	-0.059 (0.024)**		-0.053 (0.019)***		-0.039 (0.016)**		-0.039 (0.010)***	-0.034 (0.014)**
Roominess: ratio of number of rooms to household size	-0.033 (0.016)**		-0.040 (0.024)*		-0.043 (0.012)***		-0.049 (0.018)***	-0.048 (0.014)***
Youth characteristics								
Male				0.105 (0.008)***	0.104 (0.005)***	0.105 (0.002)***	0.105 (0.002)***	0.103 (0.001)***
Unemployed				0.178 (0.014)***	0.174 (0.021)***	0.142 (0.003)***	0.137 (0.005)***	0.140 (0.008)***
University education				0.027 (0.014)*	0.037 (0.016)**	0.032 (0.001)***	0.043 (0.002)***	0.044 (0.003)***
Financial support from parents				-0.095 (0.020)***	-0.100 (0.021)***	-0.070 (0.020)***	-0.077 (0.022)***	-0.079 (0.021)***
Socio-economic concerns				0.083 (0.018)***	0.080 (0.016)***	0.057 (0.029)*	0.054 (0.028)**	0.052 (0.021)**
Regional dummies								
Akkar		0.104 (0.003)***	0.110 (0.007)***			0.074 (0.009)***	0.082 (0.024)***	
Baalbek		0.123 (0.046)***	0.130 (0.046)***			0.102 (0.046)**	0.112 (0.047)**	
Beqaa		0.258 (0.044)***	0.279 (0.026)***			0.245 (0.033)***	0.270 (0.017)***	
North		0.209 (0.043)***	0.195 (0.043)***			0.186 (0.039)***	0.171 (0.035)***	
South		0.106 (0.014)***	0.088 (0.004)***			0.074 (0.013)***	0.057 (0.005)***	
Mount Lebanon		-0.046 (0.015)***	-0.051 (0.012)***			-0.041 (0.018)**	-0.046 (0.016)***	
Nabatieh		0.079 (0.020)***	0.060 (0.011)***			0.069 (0.008)***	0.048 (0.003)***	
Residence area								
Urban		-0.011 (0.006)*	-0.012 (0.006)*			-0.003 (0.003)	-0.003 (0.003)	
Macro variable								
Average regional individual expenditures								-0.081 (0.009)***
Ln-Likelihood (pseudo)	-864.015	-808.515	-801.963	-817.766	-811.306	-769.161	-760.715	-768.975
Number of observations	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000

Notes: Statistical significance: *=10%; **=5%; ***=1%. Robust standard errors clustered by regions are in parentheses.

