Consumer perception of Halal products: An empirical assessment among Sunni versus Shiite Muslim consumers

1. Introduction

Religion plays a critical role in shaping individual beliefs and morals, influencing consumers’ assessment of advertisements and products, hence their consumption and purchase decisions (Farah & El Samad, 2014; Fam, Waller, & Erdogan, 2004). Indeed, some religions regulate what an individual is allowed to consume (Lindridge, 2005); for instance, Islam and Judaism strictly prohibit the consumption of alcohol and pork, while both Hinduism and Buddhism forbid the intake of pork and beef (Lada, Tanakinjal, & Amin, 2009). Particularly, food products’ purchase decisions of Muslim consumers are usually affected by quality assessment based on Islam’s religious teachings; hence, emphasizing a product’s *Halal* attributes can enhance these consumers’ perceived brand quality (Ali, *et al*., 2018). As a matter of fact, religiosity, motivation to comply with Shariah teachings, and self-identity are all significant determinants of *Halal* endorsed products’ purchase intentions (Khan, Asad, & Meboob, 2017). Accordingly, brands breaching their promise to deliver *Halal* products risk facing strong protest from Muslim consumers, especially those holding a strong religious identity (Butt, *et al*., 2017), as the *Halalness* of a product is of major importance to the religious consumers.

To understand the scope of the *Halal* market, it is important to note that Muslims are estimated to account for 1.8 billion consumers worldwide, with Islam being the fastest growing religion in the world (Abdul-Talib & Abd-Razak, 2013; Alam & Sayuti, 2011). The worldwide Muslim population is expected to increase from 23% to roughly 30% of the total world population by 2030 (Grand View Research, 2018). In parallel, the *Halal* market has grown exponentially over the last few years: it was estimated to be worth US$4.37 trillion in 2016, and is expected to reach US$ 7.39 trillion by 2025 (Grand View Research, 2018). This growth is due to the rising number of young educated Muslim consumers who are increasingly vocal about their demand for *Halal*-abiding products, as well as the increasing global presence of Muslim communities (Abdul-Talib & Abd-Razak, 2013).

Nonetheless, teachings concerning Halal food differ amongst the two main Muslim sects, namely: Sunnism and Shiism. Historically, the division into these two denominations of Islam occurred after the death of Prophet Muhammad (PBUH), when there was contention as to who should be his successor. While a group of followers believed that only someone who is capable enough should be given this leadership, others assumed that the succession should stay with members of the Prophet's family. Explicitly, Sunni Muslims believed that Abu Bakr Al Siddiq, a close compatriot of the Prophet and his father-in-law, was his rightful successor; while the Shiite Muslims judged that Ali Bin Abi Taleb, the Prophet’s cousin and son-in-law, should be so (Farah & El Samad, 2015).

Linguistically, *Halal* is an Arabic word that denotes permissible or lawful; it is an all-inclusive concept that encourages Muslim believers to adopt wholesome (*tayyeb*) products in all aspects of their lives and ensure that the products they consume are safe and healthy, both physically and spiritually (Badruldin, *et al*., 2012). The antonym of *Halal* is *Haram*, which signifies something that is forbidden or unlawful (Rajagopal, Ramanan, Visvanathan, & Satapathy, 2011; Wilson & Liu, 2010). A main doctrine of Islam is for followers to consume only *Halal* products and abstain from the usage of all that is deemed *Haram*.

All food products are considered *Halal* except for those specifically forbidden in the Quran or
the Hadiths, the sayings of Prophet Muhammad (Wilson & Liu, 2010; Lada, Tanakinjal, & Amin, 2009). Halal foods include meat and poultry slaughtered according to the Islamic teachings (Zabiha) and which have the name of Allah pronounced upon them during the afore-mentioned process (Bonne & Verbeke Wim, 2008). According to Islamic teachings, animals must be slaughtered with a sharp knife that cuts straight into the jugular veins and carotid arteries to generate maximum blood flow guaranteeing that no blood is left in the meat resulting in a swift, humane death (Ireland & Rajabzadeh, 2011). This is not always an easy task since it is often difficult to prove using the naked eye that the three channels - vascular, respiratory, and the food - have been cut (Maman, Mahbubi, & Jie, 2018).

Strictly prohibited foods for both Muslim sects include alcohol, pork, blood, meat from a dead animal that has not been slaughtered in the name of Allah and any food item that has been in contact with or contaminated by Haram foods or its derivatives (Bonne & Verbeke Wim, 2008). This prohibition includes all carnivorous animals with fangs and claws as well as land-dwelling animals without ears such as insects, frogs, and snakes (Bonne & Verbeke Wim, 2008). Likewise, all intoxicants, such as alcohol, are firmly forbidden by the Quran and the Hadith (Badruldin, et al., 2012). In order to ensure the Halalness of their food and that it is devoid of any Haram ingredients, Muslim consumers insist on learning about the source of their food (Elseidi, 2018). For non-Muslim countries producing Halal food, multiple factors affect Muslim consumers’ purchase intentions, among those factors are Halal awareness, Islamic branding, product ingredients, religious beliefs, and Halal certification visible through Halal logos (Azam, 2016).

Albite some encouraging factors are present in non-Muslim countries, where approved slaughterhouses are designed for religious slaughters to take place in, and certified butchers conduct the slaughters (McElwee, Smith, & Lever, 2017). Given the growing demand for Halal food products, a large number of companies worldwide have seen an opportunity to grow within this increasingly lucrative market (Alam & Sayuti, 2011). For the purpose of this study, a foreign Halal product is one that originates from a majority non-Muslim country, whereas a Muslim Halal product is manufactured in a majority Muslim country.

The extensive literature review on this subject reveals that Muslim consumers’ perception of Halal products is a sorely under-researched area of study: extant literature largely discusses this issue from a conceptual and exploratory perspective with almost non-existent empirical data (Abdul-Talib & Abd-Razak, 2013; Ireland & Rajabzadeh, 2011). Moreover, all manuscripts with empirical data that discuss the Halal market and its consumers utilize a convenience sampling method that leaves no room for generalizations (Lada, Tanakinjal, & Amin, 2009). Additionally, the majority of papers deal solely with the issue of Halal marketing in relation to meat products (Ahmed, 2008; Bonne & Verbeke Wim, 2008), or with the Halal supply chain itself (Tieman, Van der Vorst, & Ghazali, 2012). Most interestingly, the in-depth review of related literature indicates that no paper to date looked at Halal food evaluation across the different Muslim sects, with all papers focusing on Sunni Muslim consumers (Ireland & Rajabzadeh, 2011).

A series of variables have been chosen to assess the purchase intention of Muslim consumers from both sects with regards to both foreign and local Halal products. First, many recent studies have highlighted religiosity was chosen as it is a critical factor in determining consumer behavior and intention (Elseidi, 2018; Azmawani, Asraraghighi & Suhaimi, 2015); this is due to the assumption that religious individuals tend to integrate their religious teachings into all their behaviors and actions (Elseidi, 2018). The second variable, ethnocentrism, is an individual’s belief that his/her core group and its values are superior to all other groups and communities (Weber et al. 2015). Examining the latter will allow the author to assess whether ethnocentric Muslims from
both sects view Halal food products differently based on the product’s country of origin. Product
cJudgement, or a consumer’s attitude towards a given product will also be studied in terms of
product judgement with regards to both domestic and foreign products. This variable was chosen
since consumer interactions with products have been found to be largely dependent on an
individual’s judgement of the product itself (Abdul-Talib & Muttaqin, 2017). The final variable
under consideration is trust which is a consumer’s subjective belief that the product in question
will fulfill its requirements with minimal associated risk (Zhou, 2013). This variable was chosen
due to the fact that many studies deem it to be a significant determinant of consumer intentions
(Ghazali et al., 2018). In sum, the objective of this study is to empirically investigate, across the
two main Muslim denominations, the effects of: (a) religiosity, (b) ethnocentrism, (c) product
judgement, and (d) trust in the considered Halal food products on the consumer intention to
purchase a given brand available on the market. The conceptual framework used in this study is
shown in Figure 1.

Insert Here: Figure 1: Conceptual Framework Proposed

2. Literature Review

2.1 Halal brands

To understand Halal brands, it is imperative to first look upon brand personality theory. The
concept of brand theory is an ever-evolving concept with the underlying notion that a brand is a
prominent and unique entity in itself (Avis and Aitken, 2015; Moore & Reid, 2008). Accordingly,
this school of thought argues that each brand is personified as a self-expressive character with
distinctive traits and attributes that attract or repel a consumer (Wilson and Grant, 2013; Aaker,
1999). Indeed, brand personality is now considered to be a critical element of marketing research
since it impacts consumer attitudes, brand attachment and trust as well as purchase intentions
(Radler, 2018). Nonetheless, the theory of brand personality in itself is largely based upon studies
from the Western world (Wilson & Liu, 2011). In fact, very few studies look into brand theory in
relation to Islamic and Halal brands despite the importance of understanding this concept to
marketers across the globe.

Halal brands are unique in that they represent religious traits and attributes in addition to the
brand characteristics themselves. This added dimension indicates that Halal brands are also viewed
through the lens of Islam which in turn adds a spiritual characteristic to these products. In fact,
some consumers even perceive these brands to be stand-alone spiritual entities in themselves
(Wilson & Hollensen, 2013). Accordingly, Halal labeling has become a dominant feature to
establish a brand as Halal, especially within marketplaces that cater to Muslim consumers.
Interestingly, and despite Halal labels, consumers are still highly skeptical when it comes to Halal
brands due to differing interpretations of the concept itself (Wilson et al. 2013).

2.2 Impact of religiosity on Halal Brand Trust

Although Islamic teachings are quite straightforward with regards to halal food, their daily
adoption is strictly linked to each consumer’s level of religiosity, or degree to which he/she is
committed to his/her religious beliefs and teachings (Vitell, 2009). Highly religious individuals
tend to largely consider the world surrounding them based on their religious teachings; thus, they
act differently than those who display lower religiosity levels (Worthington, et al., 2003). This is
especially true in the case of Islam, a comprehensive religion which governs aspects of a believer’s
life in full shaping one’s lifestyle, behaviors and overall attitudes (Supramaniam et al., 2018).

However, Bonne and Verbeke (2006) show that individuals can portray low religiosity, yet still adhere to their religion’s dietary rules. Interestingly, international chains, such as KFC, Burger King, and Taco Bell, have realized a 20% increase in customers after receiving the Halal certification for their offerings (Sabri, 2006). Also, providing mobiles or web applications that can identify the reputation of certification bodies and that of companies can aid in differentiating a product and stimulating consumer purchasing decisions (Sayogo, 2018). Government authorized halal logos, used to signify Halal products, are required to indicate the country of origin of the logo, which is used by consumers to identify compliance to Islamic dietary standards (Muhamad, Leong, & Isa, 2017). Differentiating retail stores, not only products, can be aided by the Halal concept in which Halal images displayed by retailers attract Muslim consumers’ attention in their purchase decision-making and positively influence their intention to patronize these stores (Suki & Salleh, 2016). Consumer concerns are greatly growing with regards to the Halal nature of certain products. Additives and extracts used in many food offerings may include Haram components, for example gelatin may contain swine derivatives, while other extracts may contain alcohol (Wilson & Liu, 2010).

To guide Muslim consumers in their food purchase decision-making process, multiple Halal certifications were developed worldwide. Ironically, this proliferation has led to great consumer confusion complicated even further by the various opinions held by Muslim clerics regarding what defines a Halal compliant product (Abdul-Talib & Abd-Razak, 2013). Indeed, consumers increasingly question the reliability of Halal certifications, especially in the case of products that are imported from non-Muslim countries (Badruldin, et al., 2012). For example, it has been noted that 70-80% of Halal-labeled food products in the United Kingdom are possibly not Halal with up to 90% of Halal-labeled meat sold in the country not meeting the proper slaughter requirements (Rios, Riquelme, & Abdelaziz, 2014).

Another area of concern for Muslim consumers is the Halal supply chain itself (Rajagopal, Ramanan, Visvanathan, & Satapathy, 2011). The process of producing and transporting the product, from raw material to purchase point, must ensure no cross-contamination with Haram products in order to avoid suspicious components or procedures (Tieman, Van der Vorst, & Ghazali, 2012; Al-Qaradawi, 1997), an issue that the Prophet Muhammad (PBUH) warned of:

“The Halal is clear and the Haram is clear. Between the two there are doubtful matters concerning which people do not know whether they are Halal or Haram. One who avoids them in order to safeguard his religion and his honor is safe” (Sahih Bukhari).

As a result, a large number of Muslim consumers feel more secure in purchasing local products which have been sourced from local farmers and suppliers and whose supply chain is less complex and suspect (Maman, Mahbubi & Ferry, 2018; Ali et al., 2017). Interestingly, Jie (2008) even notes that many Muslim countries go as far as importing live cattle instead of processed beef to ensure that beef is attained in accordance with Islamic teachings.

Moreover, many Western countries tend to stun an animal before it is slaughtered, a procedure that has generated great controversy amongst Muslim clerics. Muslim sheikhs in Australia, for instance, deem this process Halal and provide certification for such meat while clerics in Malaysia judge it as Haram (Wilson & Liu, 2010). While the process is not used in many markets with a large Muslim population, the matter remains of significant relevance in countries relying highly on food imports.

The above-mentioned controversial aspects related to Halal food considerations have, to varying degrees, affected consumer trust in Halal food products. This issue appears to be closely
related to the source of the brand, leading the researchers to hypothesize:

H1a: The stronger the level of consumer religiosity, the lower the level of brand trust in foreign Halal products.

H1b: The stronger the level of consumer religiosity, the higher the level of brand trust in Muslim Halal products.

2.2 Muslim Denominations and Halal Consumption

In terms of food consumption, Halal food is considered to be any food item that strictly adheres to the Halal guidelines with regards to its manufacturing, preparation, treatment, transportation and storage (Zailani et al., 2015). Nonetheless, the concept of Halal itself is subject to different interpretations according to the different schools of thought (Soon, Chandia & Regenstein, 2017). As a matter of fact, certain dietary differences exist between the two Muslim sects with Shiite Muslims facing greater restrictions compared to their Sunni counterparts (Cook, 1986). For instance, most Sunni schools permit the consumption of seafood in all its forms:

“Permitted to you is the catch of the sea and the food of it” (The Quran, Chapter 5, Surah Al-Maidah, Verse 96).

Shiite scholars, on the other hand, only consider seafood with scales, Halal as per the traditions of the Imams (Chehabi, 2007). In addition, Sunni Muslims are permitted to eat meat slaughtered by a Christian or a Jew (Binbaz, 1999), otherwise known as the ‘People of the Book’:

“The food of those who were given the Scripture is lawful for you and your food is lawful for them” (The Quran, Chapter 5, Surah Al-Maidah, Verse 5).

However, Shiite Muslims are forbidden from eating meat slaughtered by a non-Muslim and only consider meat slaughtered by a Muslim who is facing the Qibla, the location of the Kaaba, during the slaughter to be Halal (Al-Sistani, 2015). Given that foreign Halal products could be produced by non-Muslim manufacturers, the researchers hypothesize:

H2: Shiite Muslim consumers show lower levels of brand trust in foreign Halal products than do their Sunni Muslim counterparts.

2.3 Consumer Ethnocentrism and Brand Trust

Consumers typically hold specific opinions of each country shaped partly by a country’s political, social, and economic background (Hu, Li, Xie, & Zhou, 2008). Animosity towards a certain state decreases consumer trust of any brand that comes from that nation, which negatively impacts consumer purchase intention (Abosag & Farah, 2014). Additionally, Maheswaran (1994) indicates that consumers tend to use extrinsic product cues, such as country of origin, as purchase determinants instead of using intrinsic product features. Furthermore, ethnocentric consumers tend to favor the purchase of domestic products and reject the consumption of all foreign products (Kalliny et al., 2017; Erdogan & Uzkurt, 2010).

Notably, ethnocentrism is defined as “a view in which one’s own group is the center of everything, and all others are scaled and rated in reference to it” (Sumner, 1906). It is based upon common group beliefs, ideals and behaviors as well as the attitude that one’s ethnic, religious, or cultural group is superior to others (Hammond & Axelrod, 2006). Indeed, Shimp and Sharma (1987) highlight that ethnocentric customers are more likely to associate a substandard quality with imported products and hence are less likely to trust and purchase such products than their non-ethnocentric counterparts. Moreover, ethnocentric consumers tend to be inelastic in nature, whereas they display low levels of sensitivity to foreign product advertising (Lee, Lee & Li, 2017).
Likewise, studies have indicated that Muslim consumers tend to view Islam as an integral part of their identity (Abdul-Latif & Abdul-Talib, 2017). Indeed, Muslims tend to view themselves as part of the larger Muslim ummah or community (Dessy, Mizerski & Liu, 2017) causing the researchers to propose the following:

- **H3a:** Muslim consumer ethnocentrism leads to a higher level of consumer brand trust in Muslim Halal products.
- **H3b:** Muslim consumer ethnocentrism leads to a lower level of consumer brand trust in foreign Halal products.

### 2.4 Brand Trust and Product Judgment

Consumer trust is the perception that a given brand can be relied on (Moorman, Deshpande, & Zaltman, 1992). It is a consumer’s willingness to take a risk with regards to a given brand and rely on the related product to meet his/her expectations (Ali et al., 2018). This is especially critical with regards to Halal products whose consumption is linked with afterlife reward or punishment (Wilson & Hollensen, 2013). Accordingly, a Muslim consumer displays great vulnerability when consuming a Halal food product as he/she is relying solely on the reliability and integrity of the supplier (Ali et al., 2018).

On a similar note, studies indicate that particular countries are trusted more than others by certain consumers; accordingly, products are at times judged mainly on their country of origin (Bolliger & Reviron, 2008). Country-of-origin has been shown to significantly impact product judgment through a focus on product quality, trustworthiness, value, sincerity, and technological progression (Abosag & Farah, 2014). Ethnocentric consumers have a personal preference to purchase products from their own country or from countries with similar cultural backgrounds as this generates a feeling of personal ownership and affiliation with the brand (Darling & Arnold, 1988). In the context of our study, consumer trust works in favor of Muslim Halal brands that gain the chance to cast suspicions about the Halal nature of foreign brands. The latter are likely to ignite concerns leaving international brands subject to consumer mistrust, hostility and animosity (Mukhtar & Butt, 2012). Based on these arguments, the researchers hypothesize:

- **H4a:** Muslim consumers are likely to show higher trust in Muslim Halal products than in foreign Halal products.
- **H4b:** The higher the level of consumer brand trust, the more favorable the consumer product judgment with regards to Muslim Halal products.
- **H4c:** The higher the level of consumer brand trust, the less favorable the consumer product judgment with regards to foreign Halal products.

### 2.5 Relationship between Brand Trust and Willingness to Buy

Brand trust is positively related to brand loyalty since it creates an exchange between the consumer and the brand prompting an ongoing and valuable relationship between both (Sahin, Zehir, & Kitapçı, 2011; Chaudhuri & Holbrook, 2001). This trust makes the consumer willing to pay a premium price for the product, buy other brands affiliated with it, and spread positive related word of mouth amongst peers (Chaudhuri & Holbrook, 2001). Besides, brand trust acts as a predictive indicator of consumer future behavior by explaining one’s purchase intention or lack thereof (Delgado-Ballester, Munuera-Alemán, & Yagüe-Guillén, 2003). In this case, if a Muslim consumer believes that a Halal product is trustworthy and from a reliable source, then he/she is more likely to purchase the given product (Awan, Siddiquei & Zeeshan, 2015). This is due to the fact that trust in a Halal product will inspire consumer confidence and motivate him/her to purchase
the same (Muhamad, Leong & Normalisa, 2017). In fact, consumer trust that a product meets his/her expectations is deemed to be a determining factor in consumer commitment and purchase intention (Khan, Abdur Razzaque & Hazrul, 2017). Accordingly, the researchers suggest:

H5a: The higher the level of consumer brand trust, the stronger the Muslim consumer’s willingness to buy Muslim Halal products.
H5b: The lower the level of consumer brand trust, the weaker the Muslim consumer’s willingness to buy foreign Halal products.

2.6 Relationship between Product Judgment and Willingness to Buy

Similarly, if a consumer judges a product to be superior in characteristics and quality then he/she is more likely to purchase it (Anam et al., 2018). This is due to the fact that positive product judgement inhibits reservations and motivates behavior (Soh, Rezaei & Gu, 2017). Rios, Riquelme, and Abdelaziz (2014) conducted a survey in Kuwait to examine the trustworthiness of Halal certifications from various Muslim and non-Muslim countries. The results indicate that companies from non-Muslim countries are at a disadvantage when compared to their counterpart Muslim companies since they face lower perceived consumer trustworthiness both in terms of Halal certification and product judgment. Likewise, the findings signify that Kuwaiti consumers have more positive opinions of and prefer to purchase local brands or those from neighboring countries with similar cultures to those from other countries (Rios, Riquelme, & Abdelaziz, 2014). Indeed, a Muslim is deemed religiously responsible in front of God to ensure that the food sold by him is Halal which leaves Muslim consumers more likely to hold a favorable judgment of Muslim sellers and are more likely to purchase such products (Tieman, 2011). Consequently, the researchers hypothesize:

H6a: The more favorable the consumer product judgment, the higher the willingness to buy Muslim Halal products
H6b: The less favorable the consumer product judgment, the lower the willingness to buy foreign Halal products

3. Methodology

3.1 Sample and Data Collection

Lebanon was chosen as a test-bed for this study given the equal division of its Muslim community, representing 57% of the Lebanese population, between the two main Islamic sects (Central Intelligence Agency, 2019). Twenty preliminary semi-structured face-to-face interviews were conducted prior to the survey to examine how the above-mentioned factors, namely: religious denominations, religiosity, ethnocentrism, product judgement, and brand trust, impact Muslim consumers’ purchase intention of both Muslim and foreign Halal food products. The interviewees were all acquaintances of the researchers, and were chosen based on their diverse sects, religiosity levels, educational backgrounds, and age. All interviewees were asked to provide their opinions of both foreign and Muslim Halal brands. Response confidentiality was strongly stressed in order to avoid social desirability bias, a common issue in interviews that discuss sensitive topics (Farah & El Samad, 2015). All the interviews were conducted by two trained interviewers with extensive experience in qualitative interviewing. Both interviewers completed a standardized training workshop and both were provided with a question form to follow throughout the interviewing process. In addition, all responses were recorded and then content analyzed to assure that the scales
adopted in the research instrument fit the context of the Lebanese Muslim market and to refine any scales accordingly.

This was then followed by the administration of the questionnaire. Similarly to previous studies conducted in Lebanon (Farah & El Samad, 2014; Farah & Newman, 2010), data collection was completed through landline phone interviews and used proportionate stratified random sampling of the Lebanese Muslim citizens from across the five Lebanese governorates, or administrative divisions, namely: Beirut, Beqaa, North Lebanon, South Lebanon, and Mount Lebanon, while maintaining a fair representation of gender and age. The governorates are chosen as a tool of stratification due to the fact that Lebanese citizens from alike religious sects are inclined to group within the same geographic areas (Farah & El Samad, 2015). The percentage of each sect per conglomerate was obtained from the Lebanese electoral lists of 2012 as provided by the Lebanese Ministry of Interior and Municipalities. The sampling contact panel database was provided by a local research company which not only gave access to a list of up-to-date residential phone numbers but also largely assisted in conducting the survey. This design was chosen in order to provide a representative cross-section sample of all the Lebanese Muslim subgroups based on their denominations (Farah & El Samad, 2014). The telephone interviews were all conducted by trained telephone interviewers who employed Computer Assisted Telephone Interviewing (CATI) to maximize both the effectiveness and the accuracy of the interviews in question.

### 3.2 Measures

The survey instrument originally consisted of six sections related to the main constructs of the study as well as consumers’ demographics. The first section includes demographic questions that address respectively: gender, age, religious sect, marital status, education level, occupation, monthly income and governorate. The second part of the questionnaire aims to measure consumer religiosity using the Religious Commitment Inventory 10 (RCI-10), a 10-item scale which intends to evaluate the interpersonal, cognitive, and interpersonal behavioral dimensions of religiosity (Worthington, et al., 2003). This scale is deemed the best measure of religiosity by researchers as it avoids sectarian languages serving as a neutral measure of faithfulness (Worthington, et al., 2003). Moreover, an additional question required respondents to directly assess their religiosity level on a 5-point Likert scale, 1 being not a devout follower at all, and 5 being a very devout follower. The use of such a self-reported religiosity scale has been shown to generate a fair degree of accuracy (Farah & El Samad, 2014).

The third part aims to measure consumer brand trust in both Muslim and foreign Halal products using a 9-item scale utilized by Sahin, Zehir, and Kitapci (2011) and adapted from original scales developed by Chaudhuri and Holbrook (2001), and Ballester, Munuera-Aleman, and Yague-Guillen (2003). According with the study objectives, the afore-mentioned scale is modified into an 18-item scale that measures brand trust in Muslim versus foreign *Halal* products. Respondents are invited to express their brand trust level on a 5-point Likert scale, with the anchors 1=strongly disagree and 5=strongly agree.

The fourth part of the questionnaire measures respondent ethnocentrism. It consists of a 17-item scale adapted from the Shimp and Sharma (1987) ethnocentrism CETSCALE that employs 5-point Likert statements (1=strongly disagree and 5=strongly agree) and has been validated for use in various countries (Saffu & Walker, 2005). The original CETSCALE was developed to measure consumer ethnocentric tendencies in relation to foreign versus American products, however for the purpose of this study it was amended to measure ethnocentric inclinations for
foreign versus Muslim Halal products.

The following part is adapted from Dodds, Monroe, and Grewal’s (1991) 5-item scale that emphasizes the relationship between price and willingness to buy a certain product (Dodds, 1995; Dodds, Monroe, & Grewal, 1991). It is modified to measure consumer willingness to purchase at the price offered for foreign or Muslim Halal products by allowing for a response of 1 (very low) to 5 (very high) for each construct.

The final section aims to measure consumer product judgement by employing a 9-item scale adapted from Darling and Arnold (1988) for both foreign and Muslim Halal products. It measures product judgement in terms of competence, suitability, technological development, quality, dependability, design and price (Abosag & Farah, 2014; Darling & Arnold, 1988). Respondents are asked to indicate their agreement with statements regarding each of these constructs on a 5-point Likert scale.

Based on the pilot study, the researchers decided to condense the six initial sections into four main parts: first, a demographic-related section, followed by a table combining the scales related to ethnocentrism, religiosity, and subjective norms, then one merging consumer trust of Muslim Halal food products, as well as their judgement and willingness to buy such products, and finally a table uniting the same items yet for foreign Halal food products. Moreover, scale items were randomly shuffled since their systematic ordering could cause potential bias and response distortions (Knauper & Schwarz, 2004).

Administering the survey in English would have led to a significant distortion of the results since a large proportion of the Lebanese population, especially the older generation, are not fluent English speakers (Farah & Newman, 2010). In order to avoid this sampling error and related biases, the researchers decided to translate the survey to Arabic and administer it based on the respondent’s language eloquence and preference. The original English survey was translated into Arabic by two native Arabic speakers with a high proficiency in the English language. This was followed by a blind reverse-translation into English by a different translator with no reference to the original English version. The researchers then examined all three versions of the survey to ensure that the congruity of the meanings was maintained. Finally, the Arabic version of the survey was pilot-tested amongst seven acquaintances of the researchers and minor modifications were made based on their feedback.

The questionnaire required around 10 minutes to complete. The total sample size was 607, which was considered sufficient as studies indicate a sample size of over 400 respondents is a sufficient representation of population reliability (Charter 2003; Charter 1999). Likewise, SEM is favored by researchers due to its high statistical reliability with small sample sizes (Hair et al., 2012; Reinartz et al., 2009). In fact, the general rule of thumb with regards to SEM sample sizes is to have a minimum sample of ten times the number of structural paths (Usakli & Kemal, 2018; Barclay et al. 1995) which is ten in the case of this given study indicating a minimum sample size of 100.

The sample consisted of a balanced representation of female and male respondents with 312 to 295 respondents respectively. On a similar note, the sample was comprised of 305 Sunnis and 302 Shiite Muslims representing 50.2% and 49.8% of the total sample. The respondent distribution by governorate was also fairly proportional to the Lebanese Muslim population distribution, with 15.5% from Beirut, 5.3% from Mount Lebanon, 20.9% from Bekaa, 24.7% from Northern Lebanon, and 33.8% from Southern Lebanon. The sample also had a balanced representation of various age groups, marital statuses, education levels, occupations, and monthly income levels. The demographic profile of the respondents is detailed in Table 1.
4. Results and Discussion

The means and standard deviations of the various constructs for both Sunni (Su) and Shiite (Sh) consumers with regards to both Muslim and foreign halal products were computed. Results show that Sunni Muslims (Su) exhibit a higher level of trust in (Su: M=3.17, SD=0.50; Sh: M=2.73, SD=0.58), judgment of (Su: M=3.87, SD=0.38; Sh: M=3.31, SD=0.41), and willingness to buy foreign Halal products (Su: M=3.65, SD=0.60; Sh: M=3.24, SD=0.60) than do their Shiite (Sh) counterparts. Shiite consumers, on the other hand, show a greater degree of trust in (Su: M=3.26, SD=0.65; Sh: M=3.58, SD=0.80), judgment of (Su: M=3.32, SD=0.49; Sh: M=4.01, SD=0.52), and willingness to buy Muslim Halal products (Su: M=3.46, SD=0.71; Sh: M=3.70, SD=0.86) than do Sunni consumers.

4.1 Reliability and validity of constructs

Reliability and validity for each of the constructs has been tested using Cronbach’s alpha with the scores for each found in Table 2a and 2b. The scores lie between 0.74 and 0.98 for Shiite Muslim consumers and between 0.69 and 0.95 for Sunni consumers. A Cronbach’s Alpha score above 0.7 is considered an adequate representation of reliability (Field, 2009). The judgment of foreign products construct, however, has a slightly lower Cronbach’s Alpha with a reliability score of 0.61 and 0.63 for Sunni and Shiite Muslims respectively. These numbers are deemed acceptable since questionnaires with diverse psychological constructs are expected to have reliability scores lower than 0.7, yet are still considered reliable (Field, 2009). Moreover, the Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA) and the Root Mean Residual (RMR) tests of reliability were computed for each construct. All the constructs are deemed reliable falling at RMSEA and RMR values below 0.08 (Browne & Cudeck, 1993). The GFI, goodness of fit, reliability test was similarly completed for all the constructs, and all constructs satisfy the recommended criteria for GFI > 0.9 (Byrne, 1994). Additionally, all constructs are deemed significant at the 90% confidence interval.

4.2 Hypotheses Testing

The hypotheses were tested using structural equation modeling (SEM), a statistical modeling technique that allows for the estimation of several separate, yet inter-reliant, regression equations, providing an implied structure for the covariances between a number of observed variables (Ahmed, Anang, Othman, & Sambasivan, 2013). SEM was chosen as it also offers a powerful method to assess structural fit and in determining the exploratory relationships that may exist between variables for new theory development (Azam et al., 2013). Indeed, SEM is strongly recommended for the testing of casual relationships between non-observable variables in the exploratory stage of research (Mansour et al., 2016).

Four sets of SEM were conducted to evaluate the interactions between the variables related
to each of Muslim (M) and foreign (F) Halal products for both Sunni and Shiite respondents. The results of the SEM testing and the resulting structural model are presented in Table 3.

**Table 3: Results of the SEM model**

Based on the SEM findings, the researchers infer the following: A negative relationship is found between respondent religiosity levels and their trust in foreign Halal products for both Sunni ($r = -0.60, t = -7.97, p = 0.00$) and Shiite Muslims ($r = -0.01, t = -1.90, p = 0.02$), thus supporting H1a.

On the other hand, a positive link has been established between religiosity and trust in Muslim Halal products for Sunni ($r = 0.47, t = 7.94, p = 0.00$) and Shiite ($r = 0.59, t = 5.17, p = 0.00$) respondents thus validating H1b. The results also indicate that Shiite Muslims ($M=2.73, SD=0.58$) show lower levels of trust in foreign Halal products than do Sunni Muslims ($M=3.17, SD=0.50$), which in turn supports H2.

H3a establishes a positive relation between consumer ethnocentrism and trust in Muslim Halal products ($Su: r=0.34, t=6.13, p=0.00; Sh: r=0.29, t=2.63, p=0.00$) and is supported by the survey findings. Additionally, high consumer ethnocentrism caused a decreased trust in foreign Halal products ($Su: r=-0.51, t=-7.08, p=0.00; Sh: r=-0.58, t=-3.17, p=0.018$), hence validating H3b.

Moreover, Lebanese Muslim consumers show higher trust in Muslim Halal products for both sects ($Su: M=3.26, SD=0.65; Sh: M=3.58, SD=0.80$) than in foreign Halal products ($Su: M=3.17, SD=0.50; Sh: M=2.73, SD=0.58$), which in turn confirms H4a. Consumers from both sects ($Su: r=0.93, t=10.23, p=0.00; Sh: r=0.92, t=11.92, p=0.00$) display a positive relationship between trust in Muslim Halal products and consumer judgment of the aforementioned products thus supporting H4b. However, low consumer trust in foreign Halal products diminished consumer judgment of such products for both Sunni ($r=-0.84, t=-11.43, p=0.00$) and Shiite respondents ($r=-0.85, t=-7.44, p=0.00$), allowing the acceptance of H4c.

A direct link is also established between trust in Muslim Halal products and willingness to buy such products ($Su: r=0.37, t=3.80, p=0.00; Sh: r=0.64, t=4.93, p=0.00$), while an a low level of trust in foreign Halal products was directly linked to a decrease in consumer willingness to buy such products ($Su: r=-0.43, t=-2.32, p=0.00; Sh: r=-0.56, t=-6.42, p=0.00$), thus validating H5a and H5b respectively.

Finally, the results indicate a positive relationship between consumer judgment of Muslim Halal products and their willingness to buy the latter ($Su: r=0.44, t=1.25, p=0.05; Sh: r=0.26, t=1.69, p=0.046$), while a low or negative judgment of foreign Halal products caused a decrease in willingness to buy these products ($Su: r=-0.44, t=-2.32, p=0.023; Sh: r=-0.25, t=-1.81, p=0.036$) supporting H6a and H6b respectively.

### 4.3 Discussion

The results indicate that Sunni consumers display a greater trust of, judgment in, and willingness to buy foreign Halal products than do their Shiite counterparts. Research has indicated that Sunni governments and nations, such as Saudi Arabia, Jordan and Qatar, have warm and friendly relationships with Western countries, such as the US and the UK (Farah & El Samad, 2015). However, a sense of mutual hostility exists between the West and the only country with Shiite rule, Iran; likewise, the west has an apprehensive relationship with one of the main Shiite groups and
governmental players in Lebanon, the Hezbollah party (Farah & El Samad, 2015). Negative reactions to foreign policies and political relationships seems lead to consumer resentment, which in turn lowers one’s judgment of products from these ‘foreign nations’, and thus decreases consumer willingness to buy such products (Nijssen & Douglas, 2004). This holds true even in cases where the foreign product is superior, since quality does not counterweigh consumer animosity (Ahmed, Anang, Othman, & Sambasivan, 2013).

Additionally, it must be noted that consumer attitudes were largely affected by the teachings of their given sect (Soon, Chandia & Regenstein, 2017). For example, Shiite consumers appear to be less trusting of foreign Halal products since they have a much stricter definition of what makes a product Halal than do Sunni Muslims. As previously noted, Shiite Muslims are only permitted to eat Halal meat slaughtered by a Muslim. According to Ayatollah Al-Sayyid Al-Sistani, one of the most prominent Shiite clerics today, the Halal label on a food product is of no value on its own, and Muslims must ensure that the producer of the food product is a Muslim or from a country where the Muslim community represents the majority (Al-Sistani, 2015). On the other hand, based on the following Hadith, Sunni Muslims, are permitted to consume meat slaughtered by Muslims, Christians, and Jews; hence, they are not required to ask further questions about the origin of Halal meat if it is produced in a Christian or Jewish country (Al-Munajjid, 2015):

“Some people said: O Messenger of Allah, some people bring meat to us, and we do not know whether they mentioned the name of Allah over it or not. The Messenger of Allah (PBUH) said: “Mention the name of Allah over it and eat.” (Sahih Al Bukhari)

Moreover, Shiite clerics strictly forbid the consumption of kosher food (Al-Sistani, 2015), whilst Sunni clerics regard kosher meat as Halal and fulfilling of the guidelines of a valid slaughter (Al-Munajjid S. S., 2015). In fact, Sunni consumers actively choose to consume kosher food or find a Jew butcher in the absence of Halal food due to their trust in the similar nature of Halal and kosher food (Al Abdulrazak & Ayantunji, 2017). As a result, and due to the stricter nature of Shiite teachings, most Shiite consumers are likely to feel safer consuming local Muslim products as this will allow them to avoid areas of doubt and uncertainties (Ali, Tan & Ismail, 2017).

In further confirmation of our study results, Muslim religiosity plays a central role in the formation of trust and loyalty towards religiously-compliant local products that consumers identify with (Taylor & Halstead, 2014); this can in turn lead to a certain animosity and distrust of foreign products (Tabassi, Esmaeilzadeh, & Sambasivan, 2012). This finding is also compatible with recent studies that indicate Muslim consumers of both high and low religiosity levels are concerned with Halal product consumption (Elseidi, 2018). The latter has been justified by the fact that the Muslim community as a whole tends to be highly collectivist in nature and is thus driven by conformity to the standard subjective norms (Elseidi, 2018; Ahmed et al., 2014).

Moreover, research has indicated that positive product judgment increases both brand trust and consumer willingness to buy a certain product (Abosag & Farah, 2014). Likewise, consumers tend to have a more positive perception of local products than they have for foreign products, which in turn increases consumer willingness to purchase domestic products, and consequently lowers consumers’ willingness to purchase foreign products (Ahmed & d'Astous, 2001). This can be largely attributed to the fact that Muslim consumers judge local Muslim products to be Halal due to the collectivist understanding that Islamic devotion is a way of life amongst local Muslim suppliers and vendors (Ali et al., 2017). This in turn enhances product judgement with regards to Muslim products. However, the judgement of foreign products is viewed in a different light due to the fact that the overall integrity of every member within the supply chain is viewed as dubious at
best due to the involvement of non-Muslim parties (Halim & Salleh, 2012).

Finally, consumers tend to evaluate more positively and trust products from similar backgrounds thus generating a greater purchase intention in relation to such products while the opposite holds true for product from dissimilar countries (Watson & Wright, 2000). Interestingly, studies have noted that Muslim consumers in general tend to favor local products not only out of religious reasons but also due to their high levels of patriotism when compared to the followers of other religions (Dessy, Mizerski & Liu, 2017). In fact, the collectivist and community-oriented nature of Muslim consumers makes them more likely to display ethnocentric tendencies with regards to their consumption behavior (Abdul-latif & Abdul-Talib, 2017).

5. Conclusion and Implications

In sum, this study highlights that consumers from the two main Muslim sects, Sunnism and Shiism view Halal products differently based on country of origin. While Sunni consumers show favorable attitudes towards both foreign and local Halal products, their Shiite counterparts tend to distrust foreign Halal products and favor local products. This can be largely attributed to the different definitions and requirements of Halal that exist between the two sects. Likewise, the study highlights the importance of religiosity, ethnocentrism, brand trust and product judgement in determining a Muslim consumer’s willingness to buy a Halal product be it local or foreign.

This study presents vital implications for marketers as it studies the various links between consumer sect, religiosity, ethnocentrism, brand trust, product judgment and last but not least for marketers, consumers’ willingness to buy both Muslim versus foreign Halal products. It implies that one’s sect can act as a key indicator in how consumers perceive and behave with regards to Muslim and foreign Halal products. This provides marketers with an insight of both Sunni and Shiite Muslim consumers’ purchase behavior towards Halal food products. Moreover, decision makers and marketers can use this information to pursue awareness and communication strategies while targeting Muslim consumers of both sects, thus allowing for an increased market segment and an enhanced positioning strategy.

Moreover, practitioners must be aware of the fact that marketing Halal products is unique in that it has an added spiritual element. In this case marketers must keep in mind that a Muslim consumer exercises additional caution to ensure the product not only meets his/her utilitarian and functional needs but also his/her spiritual and religious needs. As a result, advertisements and marketing messages must clearly highlight the reliability of the product, source and overall supply chain to alleviate any consumer fears. Marketers can also greatly benefit from providing detailed information regarding the sourcing of their ingredient and method of slaughter (if applicable) as this will enhance consumer product judgment and generate a heightened sense of trust in the product. Moreover, practitioners must seek Halal certification from a trusted local body and ensure the Halal logo is prominently displayed on the product itself to enhance consumer awareness and judgement. This is even more critical for foreign Halal products as these products are faced with great suspicion to start with.

From a theoretical perspective, this study paves the way for visualizing a brand personality map for Halal products. It stresses the importance of seeing a Halal brand as a spiritual entity with a distinct brand personality. Theoretically speaking, the brand personality theory must be expanded and customized for Halal products to incorporate brand spirituality and religiousness. Developing this theory will in turn allow for a more accurate mapping of the consumer-product relationship with regards to Halal products. Indeed, this is a valuable contribution as it is essential for brand
personality theory to be customized to Halal products due to the proliferation of these products and the specific nature of these products. This theory will also allow marketing practitioners to bridge the existing gap between theory and practice in relation to Halal products as it will allow marketers to highlight the distinct personality of Halal products in a manner that appeals to the Muslim consumer.

Nonetheless, the limitations of this study must be considered as they point out directions for future research. First, the paper does not account for the impact of different religiosity levels within each sect on the afore-mentioned variables. This is of importance since consumers high on the religiosity scale may react differently to Halal product country of origin than do their counterparts on the other end of the spectrum. It would be of great interest for future research to study how religiosity levels within each sect impact consumer product judgment and willingness to buy Halal products. Moreover, this study focuses solely on the case of Lebanese Muslim consumers without taking into consideration Sunni and Shiite Muslims from other cultures. A study of similar nature could be replicated on a cross-cultural scale in nations such as Bahrain and Iraq with similar sectarian divisions to verify whether differences in cultural backgrounds create differing attitudes and behaviors.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3: Sample Demographics</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>51.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>48.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-25</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>22.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-35</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>27.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36-45</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>19.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46-55</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>16.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-65</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66 and above</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Religious Sect</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunni</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>50.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shiite</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>49.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marital Status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>34.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married without children</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>19.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married with children</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>35.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separated, divorced, widow</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level of Education</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than High School</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High School</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>16.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A vocational/technical degree</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>17.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undergraduate/Bachelor's degree</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>37.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postgraduate degree</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>21.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Occupation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managerial Position</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>35.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Servant</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-employed</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>18.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housewife</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retired</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monthly Income</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than $600</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>23.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$601-$1500</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>38.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1501-$3000</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>24.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3001-$5000</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than $5000</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Governorate</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beirut</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mount Lebanon</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bekaa</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>20.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Lebanon</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>24.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Lebanon</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>33.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4a: Reliability and Validity Tests (Sunni Muslim sample)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sunni Muslim Variables</th>
<th>Reliability/CR/AVE</th>
<th>GFI</th>
<th>RMSEA</th>
<th>RMR</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Religiosity</td>
<td>0.95/0.96/0.7</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>6.39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnocentrism</td>
<td>0.95/0.97/0.66</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>5.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brand Trust</td>
<td>0.89/0.97/0.79</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>5.65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judgment of Muslim products</td>
<td>0.69/0.95/0.7</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>6.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willingness to buy Muslim Products</td>
<td>0.88/0.99/0.58</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust in foreign products</td>
<td>0.86/0.94/0.63</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>8.54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judgment of foreign products</td>
<td>0.61/0.91/0.52</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>8.65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willingness to buy foreign products</td>
<td>0.85/0.9/0.65</td>
<td>0.966</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shiite Muslim Variables</td>
<td>Reliability/CR/AVE</td>
<td>GFI</td>
<td>RMSEA</td>
<td>RMR</td>
<td>df</td>
<td>P-Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religiosity</td>
<td>0.96/0.97/0.72</td>
<td>0.986</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>8.76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnocentrism</td>
<td>0.98/0.98/0.72</td>
<td>0.977</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>9.69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brand Trust</td>
<td>0.92/0.94/0.62</td>
<td>0.984</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.0562</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>14.80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judgment of Muslim products</td>
<td>0.74/0.92/0.55</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.0466</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>5.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willingness to buy Muslim Products</td>
<td>0.93/0.99/0.57</td>
<td>0.968</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>37.81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust in foreign products</td>
<td>0.89/0.9/0.51</td>
<td>0.992</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>5.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judgment of foreign products</td>
<td>0.63/0.92/0.55</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.0434</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>5.06%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willingness to buy foreign products</td>
<td>0.89/0.85/0.54</td>
<td>0.967</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>38.38%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: Results of the SEM model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypothesis Number</th>
<th>Sect</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
<th>Standardized Coefficient, $r$</th>
<th>$t$-value / $p$-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>Sunni</td>
<td>Religiosity and foreign brand trust</td>
<td>-0.60</td>
<td>-7.97/0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shiite</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-1.90/0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1b</td>
<td>Sunni</td>
<td>Religiosity and Muslim brand trust</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>7.94/0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shiite</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>5.17/0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>Sunni</td>
<td>Ethnocentrism and trust in Muslim products</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>6.13/0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shiite</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>2.63/0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td>Sunni</td>
<td>Ethnocentrism and trust in foreign products</td>
<td>-0.51</td>
<td>-7.08/0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shiite</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.58</td>
<td>-3.17/0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4b</td>
<td>Sunni</td>
<td>Trust and judgment of Muslim products</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>10.23/0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shiite</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>11.92/0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4c</td>
<td>Sunni</td>
<td>Trust and judgment of foreign products</td>
<td>-0.84</td>
<td>-11.43/0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shiite</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.85</td>
<td>-7.44/0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5a</td>
<td>Sunni</td>
<td>Trust in Muslim products and willingness to buy them</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>3.80/0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shiite</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>4.93/0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5b</td>
<td>Sunni</td>
<td>Trust in foreign products and willingness to buy them</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>-2.32/0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shiite</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.56</td>
<td>-6.42/0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6a</td>
<td>Sunni</td>
<td>Consumer judgment of Muslim products and willingness to buy them</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>1.25/0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shiite</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>1.69/0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6b</td>
<td>Sunni</td>
<td>Consumer judgment of foreign products and willingness to buy them</td>
<td>-0.44</td>
<td>-2.32/0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shiite</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>-1.81/0.036</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>