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Whole genome sequencing based molecular characterization of multi-drug resistant isolates of Enterobacter spp. and Klebsiella aerogenes in Lebanon.

Georgi S. Merhi

ABSTRACT

Enterobacter cloacae complex (ECC) members and Klebsiella aerogenes belong to the family Enterobacteriaceae and are known as Gram-negative, rod-shaped, opportunistic pathogens. They are commonly notorious for causing healthcare-associated infections and impose a significant burden on secondary health-centers. In the last few decades, the rise of ECC and K. aerogenes as successful nosocomial pathogens was paralleled by the global alarming emergence of multi-drug resistant clones of these bacteria. In Lebanon only few sporadic, and somewhat lacking, reports exist on multi-drug resistant ECC and K. aerogenes isolates. In our study whole-genome sequencing (WGS) was used for the molecular characterization of 15 E. cloacae complex and K. aerogenes recovered from clinical settings. The isolates were initially collected and characterized through hsp60 genotyping and 16S rRNA gene sequencing. Pulse field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) and multi-locus sequence typing (MLST) were used to investigate the clonal relatedness and antimicrobial susceptibility was tested and confirmed through in silico analysis. Results were further confirmed through complimentary PCR assays and plasmid-based replicon typing (PBRT). Phylogenetic relatedness was assessed through whole-genome average nucleotide identity (wgANI) and core-genome single nucleotide polymorphism (cgSNP) based phylogenetic analysis. Eight isolates were identified as Enterobacter hormaechei (clusters III, VI, VIII) representing 88% (n=8) of the total studied Enterobacter isolates. 53% (n=8) of isolates exhibited resistance to one or more of the tested penicillin and cephalosporins, while only 20% (n=3) showed complete resistance to carbapenems. Novel STs were determined for ECC and K. aerogenes isolates and one ECC isolate (ST114) matched an epidemic clone. IncFII plasmids were detected in 47% (n=7) of the isolates, which conforms with the most common plasmid families in both ECC and K. aerogenes. One blCTX-M-15 positive ECC isolate had the ESBL gene integrated in the chromosome through an ISEcp1-blaCTX-M-15-orf477Δ transposition unit, while a second extremely resistant ECC isolate coharbored blaNDM-1 and blaCTX-M-15. Carbapenem resistance in K. aerogenes isolates was due to the additive effect of osmoporin inactivation (omp36) and constitutive chromosomal ampC expression. The results of this study showed the polyphyletic nature of the ECC and its dissemination in Lebanon and revealed its resistance mechanisms. The
generated data could be used for other comparative genomic studies to better understand the molecular epidemiology, the dynamics of dissemination and the genetic basis of multidrug resistance within nosocomial pathogens in Lebanon.

Keywords: Enterobacter cloacae complex, Klebsiella aerogenes, MDR, NDM-1, SNP, Phylogeny, Lebanon.
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Chapter One

Introduction

1.1. Overview of Enterobacter sp.

1.1.1. Background

Little did researchers know that the discovery of microorganisms, almost 400 years ago, would introduce humanity to a vast new dimension dubbed the science of microbes or microbiology. Fast forward to our current day and age, our interactions with the microscopic entities known as bacteria has grown exponentially, yet can only be summarized with one question: are they friend or foe (Gest, 2004)? Bacteria belonging to the Enterobacter genus, members of the family Enterobacteriaceae, are a prime example of the mentioned duality. They are generally characterized as Gram-negative, rod-shaped, motile, non-spore forming and engage in saprophytic activity in the environment. These bacteria are usually found in soil and sewage but most importantly, they are enteric commensals in the human gastrointestinal tract (Sanders & Sanders, 1997). Currently, the Enterobacter genus encompasses about 19 species which include: Enterobacter cloacae, E. asburiae, E. cancerogenus, E. chengduensis, E. chuandaensis, E. horgmaechei, E. kobei, E. ludvigii, E. roggenkampii, E. sichuanensis, E. mori, E. huaxiensis, E. nickellidurans, E. oligotrophica, E. siamensis, E. soli, E. tabaci and E. timonensis (Federhen, 2012). Amongst the aforementioned species, many are considered as plant pathogens and are rarely linked to clinical settings and nosocomial scenarios. However, in the last few
decades, a few *Enterobacter* species surfaced as potent opportunistic pathogens causing the like of lower respiratory and urinary tract infections (LRTI & UTI) while also demonstrating an exponential increase in prevalence within a limited span of time (Hoffmann & Roggenkamp, 2003). As a result, the *Enterobacter* genus has been recently included into the ESKAPE group of pathogens and labeled as posing critical threat through worsening the spread of global antimicrobial resistance (AMR) (WHO, 2017). Moreover, these clinically relevant species were represented mainly by *E. cloacae* and named as the *Enterobacter cloacae* complex or ECC (Mezzatesta, Gona, & Stefani, 2012).

### 1.1.2. *E. cloacae* complex and its major clinically significant members.

The ECC is a polyphyletic complex mirrored by ten species from the *Enterobacter* genus (Mezzatesta, Gona, & Stefani, 2012). However, not all species can be the direct cause of healthcare associated infections (HAIs), such as the recently classified *E. mori*, which shared high relatedness with other members of the ECC yet was found to be a mulberry tree pathogen (Zhu et al., 2011). Nevertheless, the two most prominent nosocomial pathogens from the ECC remain, to this date, *E. cloacae* and *E. hormaechei* and subsequent subspecies (Annavajhala, Gomez-Simmonds, & Uhlemann, 2019).

#### 1.1.2.1. *E. cloacae*

*E. cloacae* was first identified in 1890 under the name *Bacillus cloacae* and has, since then, undergone multiple taxonomic reassignments (Mezzatesta, Gona, & Stefani, 2012). In 1960, Hormaeche and Edwards defined the genus *Enterobacter* hence reclassifying the bacterium as it is currently named (Hormaeche & Edwards, 1960). A major advantage for the
*E. cloacae* species is its large genetic heterogeneity which, in turn, confers the ability to survive and adapt in multiple niches. This enables it to colonize and contaminate medical equipment in hospitals with relative ease, thus, making *E. cloacae* a successful common and nosocomial pathogen with a significant looming threat (Dalben et al., 2008; Mezzatesta, Gona, & Stefani, 2012; Musil, Jensen, Schilling, Ashdown, & Kent, 2010).

### 1.1.2.2. *E. hormaechei*

From the early 1970’s until the late 1980’s, 22 strains from this species (unclassified at that time) were isolated from multiple outbreak scenarios and bacteremia cases notably in Brazil and USA (Campos, Lobianco, Seki, Santos, & D Asensi, 2007; Wenger et al., 1997). These strains presented biochemical profiles that were unfamiliar, yet, highly similar to isolates belonging the *Enterobacter* genus. This led to its reclassification from “enteric group 75” to *E. hormaechei* in 1989 (Mezzatesta, Gona, & Stefani, 2012; O’Hara et al., 1989). From that point onward, 5 subspecies were identified and classified as follows: *E. hormaechei* subsp. *oharae*, *E. hormaechei* subsp. *steigerwaltii*, *E. hormaechei* subsp. *hormaechei*, *E. hormaechei* subsp. *hoffmannii* and *E. hormaechei* subsp. *xiangfangensis* (Hoffmann et al., 2005; Sutton, Brinkac, Clarke, & Fouts, 2018). However, the prevalence of *E. hormaechei* remains significantly underestimated due to the lack of sensitivity in routine surveillance studies and reliance on elementary phenotypic identification techniques in clinical settings (Morand et al., 2009).

### 1.1.3. Taxonomy, whole-genome sequencing (WGS) & current phylogenetic clusters.

#### 1.1.3.1. The Hoffman clusters
Primitive attempts at differentiating and classifying members of ECC were solely reliant on the biochemical properties and antimicrobial resistance patterns of each distinct bacterial species. However, these methods proved ineffective in resolving a clear and curated scheme for clinical characterization of this complex (Annavajhala, Gomez-Simmonds, & Uhlemann, 2019). Moreover, utilizing the traditional 16S ribosomal DNA sequence analysis technique yielded poor results in resolving this diverse cluster (Mezzatesta, Gona, & Stefani, 2012).

By sequencing a fragment of the hsp60 heat-shock gene, Hoffmann and Roggenkamp were able to propose a genetic structure for the ECC that encompasses 13 genetic clusters (Hoffmann & Roggenkamp, 2003). The distribution of the clusters is as follows: Cluster I as *E. asburiae*, cluster II as *E. kobei*, cluster III as *E. hormaechei* subsp *hoffmannii*, cluster IV as *E. roggenkampii*, cluster V as *E. ludwigii*, cluster VI as *E. hormaechei* subsp *oharae* or subsp *xiangfangensis*, cluster VII as *E. hormaechei* subsp *hormaechei*, cluster VIII as *E. hormaechei* subsp *sterigerwaltii*, cluster IX as *E. bugandensis*, cluster XI as *E. cloacaе* subsp *cloacaе* and cluster XII as *E. cloacaе* subsp *dissolvens* (Hoffmann & Roggenkamp, 2003; Hoffmann et al. 2005a,b,c; Sutton, Brinkac, Clarke, & Fouts, 2018). Initially, cluster X was classified as native to *E. nimipressuralis*. However, following taxonomic re-evaluation the bacterium was reclassified as *Lelliottia nimipressuralis* (Brady, Cleenwerck, Venter, Coutinho, & De Vos, 2013).
1.1.3.2. Novel phylogenetic clusters

The use of a single locus for species identification, within the ECC, proved somewhat limiting in terms of sensitivity. Therefore, this warranted an in-depth and comprehensive approach for precise species identification and novel insights into phylogeny (Chavda et al., 2016). WGS has revolutionized the way we generate and interpret data, especially in the field of microbiology. With the rapid advancements in novel sequencing technologies, it is now possible to sequence a single bacterial genome at the modest cost of 50 US dollars (Land et al., 2015). These factors have massively increased data output and established an international consensus for the need of WGS in microbial research and routine pathogen diagnostics (Kluytmans-van den Bergh et al., 2016; Köser et al., 2012). Incorporating WGS data analysis in routine clinical microbiological procedures has significantly enhanced accurate species identification, antimicrobial resistance testing and epidemiological typing (Köser et al., 2012).

The genomes of 97 Enterobacter isolates were sequenced and measured against available genomes in the genbank database using average nucleotide identity (ANI) comparison and single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) phylogenetic analysis (Chavda et al., 2016). Following which, the ECC was divided into 18 phylogenetic clusters (A to R) with additional amendments being added to the scheme in subsequent and more recent studies as presented below in table 1 (Chavda et al., 2016; Sutton, Brinkac, Clarke, & Fouts, 2018).
Table 1 Novel phylogenetic and Hoffmann clusters for the *E. cloacae* complex.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species and Subspecies Names</th>
<th>Phylogenetic Groups</th>
<th>Hoffmann Clusters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>E. hormaechei</em> ssp. xiangfangensis</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>VI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. hormaechei</em> ssp. sterigerwaltii</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>VIII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. hormaechei</em> ssp. oharae</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>VI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. hormaechei</em> ssp. hoffmannii</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. hormaechei</em> ssp. hormaechei</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>VII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. mori</em></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. cloacae</em> ssp. cloacae</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>XI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. cloacae</em> ssp. dissolvens</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>XII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. ludwigii</em></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. asburiae</em></td>
<td>J</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. cloacae</em> complex clade K</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. cloacae</em> complex clade L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. roggenkampii</em></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. cloacae</em> complex clade N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. cloacae</em> complex clade O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. cloacae</em> complex clade P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. kobei</em></td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. bugandensis</em></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>IX</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1.1.4. *Enterobacter aerogenes*, reclassified.

1.1.4.1. *E. aerogenes* overview

*E. aerogenes* is, also, a motile, non-spore forming, rod shaped, Gram-negative bacterium. *E. aerogenes* takes on clinical significance as an opportunistic pathogen that it is often the cause of HAIs. It is re-emerging as a hazardous “killer bug” and is responsible for urinary tract infections, wound/skin infections and central nervous system infections around the globe (Davin-Regli & Pagès, 2015; Sanders & Sanders, 1997). The potency of *E. aerogenes* as a human pathogen is underlined by its ability to efficiently colonize multiple reservoirs in hospitals and inflate risk factors in such environments (Malek et al., 2019).

1.1.4.2. Reclassification into *Klebsiella aerogenes*

In the light of WGS data analysis, *E. aerogenes* was recently classified as *K. aerogenes* (Tindall, Sutton, & Garrity, 2017). The premature classification of *K. aerogenes* was primarily based on phenotypic discrepancies between the *Enterobacter* and *Klebsiella* genera. However, genomic insights into multiple *K. aerogenes* isolates demonstrated that these differences are caused by horizontal gene transfer (HGT) events (Diene et al., 2013). *K. aerogenes* has acquired a 48 functional open reading frames (ORF) operon, native to the *Serratia* genus, which codes for a flagellar assembly system. Similarly, ornithine decarboxylase (ODC) and urease activity were acquired through genetic material exchange (Diene et al., 2013). Chavda et al, examined the phylogenetic relationship between all members of the family *Enterobacteriaceae* through twenty-six universal marker gene analysis, in which *K. aerogenes* genomes clustered
with isolates form the *Klebsiella* genus (Chavda et al., 2016). The combined results of these studies clearly warranted the taxonomical reassignment of the bacterium as *K. aerogenes* (Tindall, Sutton, & Garrity, 2017).

1.2. **Epidemiology and Typing**

Molecular epidemiological typing of isolates, in outbreak scenarios, is a key step for understanding the pattern of dissemination of threatening clones locally and globally (Haertl & Bandlow, 1993). Outbreaks reports due to ECC clones are steadily increasing and are cause for major concern. Pulse-Field Gel Electrophoresis (PFGE) has long been the gold standard for fingerprinting ECC pathogens. However, PFGE’s lack of reproducibility prevented microbial epidemiologists from curating a global scheme for typing ECC epidemic clones (Kluytmans-van den Bergh et al., 2016). In 2008, multi-locus sequence analysis (MLSA) revealed two timely ancestral clades and identified a 1.04 recombination ratio which accounts for the distance within the ECC (Paauw et al., 2008). A multi-locus sequence typing scheme (MLST) emerged by adding *dnaA* to the 6 housekeeping genes used in the MLSA (Miyoshi-Akiyama, Hayakawa, Ohmagari, Shimojima, & Kirikae, 2013). MLST provided a common ground where endemic and epidemic ECC clones can be categorized and assessed by their geographical distribution. Currently, four major sequence types (ST) are associated with extended spectrum β-lactamases (ESBLs) secretion (ST66, ST78, ST108 and ST114). Whereas, ST90, ST93, ST105 and ST114 were detected as clones exhibiting carbapenem resistance also known as carbapenem resistance *E. cloacae* (CREC) (Izdebski et al., 2015; Peirano et al., 2018).
Recently, a MLST scheme was developed for *K. aerogenes* ("Klebsiella aerogenes MLST", 2018). However, data related to the global distribution of threatening *K. aerogenes* clones is still scarce and lacking (Malek et al., 2019).

### 1.3. Virulence factors in *K. aerogenes*

When phylogenetically screened against other members of the family *Enterobacteriaceae*, *K. aerogenes* isolates clustered closely to *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (Chavda et al., 2016). Consequently, further analysis in the virulome of *K. aerogenes* isolates showed that this bacterium demonstrates similar virulence factors (VFs) loci with its closest phylogenetic match (Malek et al., 2019).

Pathogenic bacteria are in need of iron while partaking in cellular growth and replication within a host. Human hosts, on the other hand, possess a myriad of iron sequestering proteins which serve as a defense mechanism against invading pathogens (Podschun & Ullmann, 1998; Shon, Bajwa, & Russo, 2013). Therefore, iron acquisition systems or siderophores are vital for securing iron ions within the host (Podschun & Ullmann, 1998). Hence, *K. aerogenes* relies on siderophores for its proliferation and establishing an infection within its targeted host (Malek et al., 2019).

Yersiniabactin, a siderophore encoded by the *ybt* locus, has been recently detected in *K. aerogenes* isolates. The *ybt* is generally mobilized through an integrative conjugative element (ICE) designated ICE*Kp* (Holt et al., 2015; Lam et al., 2018; Malek et al., 2019). The *clb* locus, which encodes the secretion of colibactin, is another VF that is usually co-transferred within
the ICEKp. Colibactin is a genotoxic polyketide that induces DNA damage in host cells during bacterial infections (Lam et al., 2018).

1.4. Antibiotic resistance

The center for disease prevention and control (CDC) has classified antimicrobial resistance as reaching a critical juncture and posing alarming threat to public health sectors (CDC, 2019). Multiple important industries stand to be affected and by 2050 researchers estimate that, if no measures are taken, 10 million deaths per year will be caused by pan resistant bacteria (Tangcharoensathien et al., 2017).

One of the key protagonists in AMR are bacteria belonging to the family Enterobacteriaceae. They can be classified as: ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae and carbapenem resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE). The latter can be subdivided into: carbapenemase producing (CP)-CRE and non CP-CRE (CDC, 2019).

1.4.1. Intrinsic resistance

ECC members and K. aerogenes display natural intrinsic resistance to penicillins, first and second generation cephalosporins. This native resistance is owed to a chromosomally encoded Ambler class C cephalosporinase gene cluster assembled from \textit{ampR-ampD-ampC} genes. Treatment with third generation cephalosporins will induce positive selective pressure on the complex and introduce non-synonymous mutations in the \textit{ampR} and \textit{ampD} genes. This will result in the depression of the \textit{ampC} gene and, consequently, the hyperproduction of the AmpC cephalosporinase (Annavajhala, Gomez-Simmonds, & Uhlemann, 2019; Davin-Regli & Pagès, 2015; Mezzatesta, Gona, & Stefani, 2012). \textit{ampC} depressed ECC and \textit{K. aerogenes} strains
account for more than half of clinically detected cases. However, most of these strains often are found to harbor additional resistance determinants acquired through horizontal gene transfer (HGT) (Davin-Regli & Pagès, 2015).

1.4.2. Acquired resistance

Acquiring antibiotic resistance through HGT is a phenomenon primarily mediated by mobile genetic elements (MGEs). Conjugative plasmids and conjugative resistance transposons are the two key structures that are capable of self-intercellular transfer (Bennett, 2008). Bacterial plasmids are defined as extracellular “mini” chromosomes which carry accessory genes that enhance fitness, adaption and resistance of its host (Rozwandowicz et al., 2018). Their size varies from 30 kbp to 300 kbp and can be carriers of resistance determinants that can halt the action of all currently available antibiotics (Bennett, 2008). Plasmid typing is based on the concept of incompatibility (Inc) groups and replication control. Two plasmids with similar Inc groups cannot coexist in the same bacterial cell. Based on this rationale, a PCR based replicon typing (PBRT) scheme was developed to detect different plasmid families within Enterobacteriaceae swiftly. The scheme encompasses the following plasmid families: HI2, HI1, I1-γ, X, L/M, N, FIA, FIB, FIC, FII, FIIK, W, Y, P, A/C, T, K, B/O (Carattoli, 2009; Carattoli et al., 2005).

Transposons are dynamic gene structures capable of engaging in intercellular and intracellular DNA exchange. They’re classified as transposable elements, similarly to insertion sequences (IS) and integrons. Transposons manifest diverse genetic conformations and are extremely prone to recombination with each transposition event (Bennett, 2004).
1.4.2.1. ESBLs in ECC and *K. aerogenes*

Genes encoding for ESBLs are commonly plasmid borne. These enzymes confer resistance to all third generation cephalosporins and monobactams (aztreonam) (Davin-Regli & Pagès, 2015). Strains expressing ESBL genes along with overproduction of AmpC cephalosporinase show pan-resistance to all β-lactams in the exception of carbapenems (Annavajhala, Gomez-Simmonds, & Uhlemann, 2019).

The most common and prevalent ESBL encoding gene within the ECC is *blaCTX-M-15*, which belongs to the CTX-M-1 family. Other significant genes are *blaSHV-1* and *blaTEM1* (Cantón, González-Alba, & Galán, 2012). As for *K. aerogenes*, it is commonly associated with the TEM variant *blaTEM-24* (Davin-Regli & Pagès, 2015).

1.4.2.2. Carbapenem resistance

Carbapenems were thought about as last resort antibiotics in the treatment of ESBL producing *Enterobacteriaceae* isolates. However, exaggerated misuse of these drugs led to positive selective pressure eliciting resistance to carbapenems (Annavajhala, Gomez-Simmonds, & Uhlemann, 2019).

ECC members were amongst the first *Enterobacteriaceae* to harbor carbapenem resistance determinants. Current epidemiological studies show that *Enterobacter* isolates are typically the third or second most common cause of carbapenem resistance in HAIs (Peirano et al., 2018).

Plasmid borne carbapenem resistance genes can be categorized in three groups based on the Ambler classification. Class A serine carbapenemases including the *K. pneumoniae* carbapenemase (*blaKPC*). Class B metallo-β-
lactamases (MBLs) including the predominant $\text{bla}_{\text{NDM}}$, $\text{bla}_{\text{IMP}}$ and $\text{bla}_{\text{VIM}}$. Finally, class D oxacillinase with the predominant $\text{bla}_{\text{OXA-48}}$ variant (Nordmann, Naas, & Poirel, 2011).

1.4.2.2.1. Carabapenemase plasmid families in ECC

Worldwide, members of the ECC have been found to harbor the $\text{bla}_{\text{KPC}}$ and $\text{bla}_{\text{NDM}}$ variants predominately. The former is endemic to the United States, whereas the latter is mainly identified in the Indian sub-continent and South-Eastern Asia (Nordmann, Dortet, & Poirel, 2012). In the Middle East, $\text{bla}_{\text{OXA-48}}$ is the most prevalent especially with its association with $\text{bla}_{\text{CTX-M-15}}$ on the same plasmids, within the same gene cassette (Mezzatesta, Gona, & Stefani, 2012; Nordmann, Naas, & Poirel, 2011). NDM encoding genes are mostly transported through IncFIA/B, IncFII, IncN and IncX plasmids (Khan, Maryam, & Zarrilli, 2017). As for $\text{bla}_{\text{OXA-48}}$, it is commonly linked to IncL or IncM endemic plasmid in Europe and the Middle East (Evans & Amyes, 2014).

1.4.2.2.2. Porin Mutations in $K. \text{aerogenes}$

Carbapenem resistant $K. \text{aerogenes}$ (CR-KE) clinical cases due to plasmid borne carbapenemases are well documented in US, Europe and Asia (Davin-Regli & Pagès, 2015). However, CR-KE’s main mechanism of carbapenem resistance, principally relies on combining the constitutive overexpression of chromosomal $\text{ampC}$ and altering membrane permeability through porin regulation (Malek et al., 2019).

Porin regulation involves two membrane structures, Omp35 and Omp36. Treatment with carbapenems initially induces mutations, and consequent loss, in Omp35 which will confer partial resistance to
carbapenems (resistance to ertapenem). Further positive selective pressure (continued treatment) will induce non-synonymous mutation in Omp36 bringing about resistance to all carbapenems (Lavigne et al., 2013). It is worthy to note that this phenotypic change is reversible once the course of treatment is stopped (Bornet, Davin-Regli, Bosi, Pages, & Bollet, 2000).

1.5. Incidence in Lebanon and Aim

Despite the importance of *Enterobacter* sp and *K. aerogenes* as global pathogens, to our knowledge, there is a lack in studies that tackle the presence of these bacteria in Lebanon. A significant amount of local studies that tackled ESBL and carbapenemase production only utilizes phenotypic screening and PCR assays (Daoud & Afif, 2011; Matar et al., 2008). Consequently, and due to its polyphyletic nature, *Enterobacter* sp. presence and carriage are heavily underreported locally (Daoud et al., 2017). Recently, few studies characterized *Escherichia coli* and *K. pneumoniae* isolates from Lebanon through the use of WGS and bioinformatics analysis, however information related to *Enterobacter* sp. and *K. aerogenes* is still limited (Arabaghian et al., 2019; Dagher et al., 2018). A recent report about antimicrobial susceptibility data from various Lebanese regions and hospitals only showcased statistics for ESBL and carbapenemase carriage in *Acinetobacter* spp., *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Salmonella* spp., *Klebsiella* spp., and *E. coli* (Moghnieh et al., 2019). Therefore, there is a major local need to monitor and limit outbreak scenarios that could be caused by *Enterobacter* sp and *K. aerogenes*. We aimed in this study to setup an accurate workflow for the correct identification and molecular characterization of these bacteria in Lebanon.
and the region through the use of WGS. To our knowledge this is the first study in Lebanon that will rely on in-depth genome scavenging to investigate the molecular resistome, encompassing acquisition of horizontally transferred elements, that underly the observed phenotypic resistance of these isolates. And also perform comprehensive comparative genome analyses to elucidate the clonality, phylogenetic relationships and trace the molecular evolutionary path of the different isolates that are circulating in Lebanon.
Chapter Two

Materials and Methods

2.1. Bacterial Isolates

A total of nine ECC and six *K. aerogenes* isolates collected from 2015 to 2016 were provided by the American University of Beirut Medical Center (AUBMC) and were labeled as ENM1-ENM9 and KAM1-KAM6, respectively. Isolates were collected from different infection sites such as blood, abdominal fluid and skin. 86% (n=13) were Males and 14% (n=2) were Females (Table 1), ranging from 2 months to 83 years, with the mean patient’s age being $47 \pm 29$ years old.

Table 2 Primary isolate information including the corresponding patient's sex and age, isolation source and whole-genome NCBI accession numbers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolates</th>
<th>Isolation Source</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Accession Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ENM-1</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>PYGW000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENM-2</td>
<td>skin</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>PYGX000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENM-3</td>
<td>Abdominal fluid</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>PYGY000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENM-4</td>
<td>Abdominal fluid</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>PYGZ000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENM-5</td>
<td>Abdominal fluid</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>PYHA000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENM-6</td>
<td>Skin</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>2 months</td>
<td>PYHB000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENM-7</td>
<td>Urine</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>PYHC000000000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Preliminary identification was performed through Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption/Ionization Time of Flight (MALDI-TOF) system (Bruker Daltonik, GmbH, Bremen, Germany) following the manufacturer’s instructions.

2.2. **Antimicrobial susceptibility testing**

Antimicrobial phenotypic testing was performed through the Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion technique on Mueller-Hinton agar. Isolates were tested against 20 clinically relevant antimicrobials. These included piperacillin, ticarcillin, amoxicillin/clavulanic acid, piperacillin/tazobactam, cefuroxime, ceftriaxone, cefotaxime, ceftazidime, cefepime, imipenem, meropenem, ertapenem, aztreonam, amikacin, gentamicin, tobramycin, tetracycline, tigecycline, ciprofloxacin and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole. Minimum-inhibitory concentrations were assessed with the Vitek2 system (BioMerieux). All the data was interpreted according to the clinical & laboratory standard institute (CLSI) recommendations (CLSI, 2018).
2.3. DNA Extraction

DNA was extracted from fresh bacterial cultures grown overnight in tryptic soy broth (TSB) using the Nucleospin® Tissue Kit (Macherey-Nagel, Germany) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Genomic DNA was stored at -20°C for further downstream applications.

2.4. PCR amplification and sequencing of the *hsp60* gene

*Hsp60* gene amplification was used to assess the Hoffmann cluster of the ECC members. PCR amplification and sequencing were performed with the *hsp60*-F and *hsp60*-R primers as described by Hoffman et al (Hoffmann & Roggenkamp, 2003) (Table 3). *hsp60* sequences were aligned using Clustal-Omega as part of the EMBL-EBI toolset (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/) generating a multiple sequence alignment output file (Madeira et al., 2019). The Hoffman cluster tree was generated using the interactive tree of life (iTOL) tool (Letunic & Bork, 2019).

2.5. Pulse-field Gel electrophoresis (PFGE)

PFGE carried out with the *XbaI* restriction enzyme (ThermoScientific, Waltham, MA, USA) for both ECC and *K. aerogenes* isolates using separate runs. *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica serovar branenderup* was used as a reference and electrophoresis was performed with the CHEF DR-III system (Bio-Rad Laboratories,Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., Hercules, CA, USA). Run time for both the ECC and *K. aerogenes* was 18h with switch times of 6.76s to 35.38s according to the pulseNet standard protocol. Gels were stained with Ethidium Bromide and de-stained before visualization.
Bacterial fingerprint patterns were analyzed with the BioNumerics software version 7.6.1 (Applied Maths, Belgium) and the resulting pulsotypes were characterized as different based on the threshold of three or more divergent bands (Tenover et al., 1995). Fingerprint clusters were inferred with the BioNumerics software based on the Dice correlation with optimization and tolerance values set for both as 1.5%.

2.6. Multi-Locus sequence typing (MLST)

MLST was performed based on the scheme developed by Miyoshi-Akiyama et al. using seven housekeeping genes (*dnaA*, *fusA*, *gyrB*, *leuS*, *pyrG*, *rplB* and *rpoB*) with the forward and reverse amplification/sequencing primers as shown in Table 3 (Miyoshi-Akiyama, Hayakawa, Ohmagari, Shimojima, & Kirikae, 2013). Sequence types were determined through the pubmlst databases for ECC and *K. aerogenes* (https://pubmlst.org/ecloaca/; https://pubmlst.org/kaerogenes/).

Table 3 *hsp60* and MLST amplification and sequencing primers with annealing positions in the target gene.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amplification primers</th>
<th>Sequence (5’-&gt;3’)</th>
<th>Position in the target gene</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>dnaA-f2</strong></td>
<td>AYAAACCGCTGTTCCTBTATGCGGCCAC</td>
<td>500-527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>dnaA-r</strong></td>
<td>KGCCAGCGCCATCGCCATCTGACGCAG</td>
<td>1222-1248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>fusA-f2</strong></td>
<td>TCGCTTGGTTAACAAAATGGACCCTAT</td>
<td>413-440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>fusA-r2</strong></td>
<td>TCAGCGCCAGCCAGCCAGCCAGCCAGCCAG</td>
<td>1291-1318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>gyrB-f</strong></td>
<td>TCGACGAAGCGCTCGCGGGCTCAGCTGAA</td>
<td>143-170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>gyrB-r</strong></td>
<td>GCAGAACCAGAGCCAGAGCCAGAGCCAG</td>
<td>1268-1295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>leuS-f2</strong></td>
<td>GATCARCTCCCGCTCGCGCGTCAGTCCA</td>
<td>1342-1369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>leuS-r</strong></td>
<td>ATAGCCGGAATTGCGGTATTGAGGCTCT</td>
<td>2159-2186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>pyrG-f</strong></td>
<td>AYCCBGAAYGTATTGCRCAYMAGGCCAT</td>
<td>56-83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>pyrG-r</strong></td>
<td>GCRCGRATYTVCCTCCTCGTCTCGTCCAC</td>
<td>563-590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>rplB-f</strong></td>
<td>GTAACCGCATCTCCGGGCTGCTGCA</td>
<td>17-44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>rplB-r</strong></td>
<td>ACCTTTGCTTGAAGCGCCACCGAGGTT</td>
<td>735-762</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>rpoB-f</strong></td>
<td>AAAACCTGATTGCTTGAAGGATTGTTTGA</td>
<td>252-280</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.7. PCR based replicon typing (PBRT)

Plasmidic profiles were inferred using the DIATHEVA PBRT kit (Diatheva, Fano, Italy). All isolates were subjected to eight multiplex PCR assays accounting for the 25 plasmid replicons identified within the Enterobacteriaceae family (Carattoli et al., 2005). PCR assays were performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions and amplicons were visualized on a 2.5% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide.

2.8. Whole-Genome Sequencing

2.8.1. Library Preparation

Library preparation was performed using the Illumina Nextera XT DNA library preparation kit (Illumina) using 1 µg of extracted genomic DNA (gDNA). The generated gDNA library was quantified with the Qubit 2.0 fluorometer and subsequently sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq using the paired-end protocol with 250 bp read length.

2.8.2. Quality control and assembly

Raw reads were quality controlled with fastQC (Andrews, 2010). Adapter sequences and low-quality bases (Phred score < 30) were trimmed with Trimmomatic v0.38 (Bolger, Lohse, & Usadel, 2014). Contigs were
assembled de novo using SPAdes v3.13.1 and applying read error correction. The option --plasmid was additionally used to filter out plasmid sequences from raw reads (Bankevich et al., 2012).

2.8.3. Annotation

Annotation for the fasta files was carried out through Prokka and the RASTtk pipeline (Brettin et al., 2015; Seemann, 2014). Annotation through prokka was generated with the following flags --force --compliant --locustag and --addgenes. Annotation through RASTtk was initiated with the default pipeline parameters with the added mobile genetic element annotation option.

2.8.4. In silico resistance, virulence, MLST profiling and mobile genetic elements (MGEs).

Primary in silico species identification was performed based on the k-mer genome parsing tool KmerFinder 3.1 (Hasman et al., 2014).

For the ECC, in silico resistance and plasmidic profiles were assessed with the ResFinder 3.0 and PlasmidFinder 2.0 databases (Carattoli et al., 2014; Zankari et al., 2012). In silico MLST types were confirmed with the blast option available on pubmlst. Insertion sequences were identified through the ISfinder tool (https://www.is.biotoul.fr/index.php) (Siguier, Perochon, Lestrade, Mahillon, & Chandler, 2006).

Plasmid presence was also investigated with the plasmid constellation network (PLACNETw) tool (Vielva, de Toro, Lanza, & de la Cruz, 2017).

Resistance and virulence in K. aerogenes were inferred with Kaptive and Kleborate software (Lam et al., 2018; Wick, Heinz, Holt, & Wyres, 2018). Porin gene sequences were extracted from the RASTtk pipeline.
annotation and translated with the Swiss institute of bioinformatics’ translation tool (https://web.expasy.org/translate/) (Gasteiger et al., 2003).

2.8.5. PacBio sequencing and novel plasmid sequence

Long read sequencing on top 10 *E. coli blaNDM-1* transformant was performed as previously described (Papagiannitsis et al., 2019; Paskova et al., 2018). The plasmid sequence was extracted and compared to its nearest match with the blast option. Annotation of the plasmid sequence was manually curated, and the complete circularized sequence was visualized using the GenomeVx tool (Conant & Wolfe, 2008).

2.8.6. Pan genome and Recombination Analysis

GFF3 annotated files, for ECC and *K. aerogenes* isolates, served as input for the Roary pipeline (Page, 2015). The following command flags were used in pan-genome analysis: `roary -f outdir -e --mafft -v *.gff`.

Recombination hotspots were located through the Gubbins pipeline with recommended default parameters (Croucher, 2014). Pan-genome and recombination results were visualized using the web-based tool Phandango (Hadfield, 2017).

2.8.7. Core genome (cg) SNP phylogenetic analysis

MASH tool was used to identify the best *Enterobacter* sp. reference genome from the RefSeq NCBI databases through genome sketching and distance calculation (Ondov et al., 2016). Confirmatory species identification was performed through wgANI analyses (Rodriguez-R & Konstantinidis, 2016).

Phage identified sequences were removed from all genomes with PHASTER (Arndt et al., 2016). *Enterobacter* sp. CRENT-193
(NZ_CP024812.1) and *Klebsiella aerogenes* KCTC2190 (NC_015663.1) were used as references for read alignment within the Snippy pipeline (Seemann, 2015). Core SNP genome alignments were generated and cleaned with the snippy-clean option. SNPS were then extracted with the snp-sites option and input into gubbins for recombination hotspots removal. The resulting clean core alignment was used to infer the final phylogenetic tree with RAxML (Stamatakis, 2014) with 1000 iterations and bootstrap values support and the GTR-GAMMA model.
Chapter Three

Results

3.1. *In silico* primary identification

16S rDNA sequencing yielded inconsistent results for ECC isolates while correctly identifying *K. aerogenes* isolates (Table 4). However, based on *in silico* k-mer genome screening, 60% (n=9) of the isolates were identified as members of the ECC whereas the remaining 40% (n=6) were correctly identified as *K. aerogenes*. 53% (n=8) of the isolates were identified as *E. hormaechei* species (with varying entailing subspecies), with only one isolate (6%) being identified as *E. cloacae* (Table 4).

Table 4 16S rDNA Sequencing identification results versus the *in silico* KmerFinder tool output.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolate</th>
<th>Predicted lineage (kmer finder)</th>
<th>16S rDNA Sequencing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ENM-1</td>
<td>Enterobacter cloacae subsp cloacae</td>
<td><em>E. cloacae</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENM-2</td>
<td>Enterobacter hormaechei subsp oharae</td>
<td>Shigella flexneri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENM-3</td>
<td>Enterobacter hormaechei subsp oharae</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENM-4</td>
<td>Enterobacter hormaechei</td>
<td><em>E. xiangfangensis</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENM-5</td>
<td>Enterobacter hormaechei subsp. steigerwaltii</td>
<td>Shigella flexneri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENM-6</td>
<td>Enterobacter hormaechei subsp. steigerwaltii</td>
<td>Shigella flexneri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENM-7</td>
<td>Enterobacter hormaechei</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENM-8</td>
<td>Enterobacter hormaechei subsp. steigerwaltii</td>
<td>Shigella flexneri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENM-9</td>
<td>Enterobacter hormaechei</td>
<td><em>E. xiangfangensis</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAM-1</td>
<td>Klebsiella aerogenes</td>
<td>Klebsiella aerogenes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAM-2</td>
<td>Klebsiella aerogenes</td>
<td>Klebsiella aerogenes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAM-3</td>
<td>Klebsiella aerogenes</td>
<td>Klebsiella aerogenes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAM-4</td>
<td>Klebsiella aerogenes</td>
<td>Klebsiella aerogenes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAM-5</td>
<td>Klebsiella aerogenes</td>
<td>Klebsiella aerogenes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.2. Susceptibility profiling

93% (n=14) of the studied isolates showed resistance to amoxicillin + clavulanic acid and 40% (n=6) were resistant to ticarcillin + tazobactam. Resistance to cephalosporins was also detected, with 60% (n=9) of the isolates being resistant to cefuroxime, 53% (n=8) to cefotaxime, 47% (n=7) to both ceftriaxone and ceftazidime. Only 27% (n=4) were resistant to cefepime. In regard to carabapenems, 27% (n=4) were resistant to ertapenem out of which three isolates (20%) were resistant to all the three tested carbapenems (Table 5). On the other hand, 53% (8/15) showed resistance to monobactams (aztreonam) while 20% (n=3) were resistant to other classes of antimicrobial agents such as tetracycline and quinolones. ENM-2 was the only isolate resistant to gentamicin and tobramycin while exhibiting susceptibility to amikacin. Accordingly, ENM-2 was classified as an extremely drug resistant (XDR) bacterium.
Table 5: Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion assay for the 18 antibiotics used in this study. The antimicrobial agents used belonged to seven classes of antimicrobial agents. S: Sensitive; R: Resistant; I: Intermediate Resistance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>ENM1</th>
<th>KAM1</th>
<th>KAM2</th>
<th>KAM3</th>
<th>KAM4</th>
<th>ENM2</th>
<th>ENM3</th>
<th>ENM4</th>
<th>ENM5</th>
<th>ENM6</th>
<th>ENM7</th>
<th>KAM6</th>
<th>ENM8</th>
<th>ENM9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Antibiotic/Class</strong></td>
<td><strong>Penicillins</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piperacillin 100 µg</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amoxicillin + clavulanic acid</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piperacillin + Tazobactam</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cephalosporins</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefuroxime CXM 30 µg</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceftriaxone CRO 30 µg</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefotaxime CTX 30 µg</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefazidime CAZ 30 µg</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefepime CEF 30 µg</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Carbapenems</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imipenem 10 µg</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meropenem 10 µg</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ertaopenem 10 µg</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monobactams</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aztreonam 30 µg</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aminoglycosides</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amikacin 30 µg</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentamicin 10 µg</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobramycin 10 µg</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tetracycline</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetracycline 30 µg</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Quinolones</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciprofloxacin 5 µg</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimethoprim + Sulfaf 1.25 µg/23.75 µg</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3. *hsp60* identification and clustering

Figure 1: A rooted UPGMA tree based on the *hsp60* sequence analysis of all ECC (n=9) isolates against references matching (n=10) each Hoffmann cluster (Accession # AJ417108 to AJ417143). The tree was generated using clustal Omega multiple sequence alignment tool on EMBL-EBI.

Figure 1 shows that the *hsp60* sequencing results agreed with the k-mer based identification approach. ENM-1, identified as *E. cloacae* subsp. *cloacae*, clustered with 94% similarity to *E. cloacae* cluster XI within the first clade. All remaining isolates ENM-2 to ENM-9 clustered within the second clade. ENM4 and ENM9 shared identical *hsp60* sequences and mapped to cluster III (*E. horamechei* subsp. *hoffmanii*). ENM2 and ENM3 shared the highest similarity at more than 99% and were within cluster VI. ENM5, ENM6, ENM7 and ENM8 shared *hsp60* sequence homogeneity at 98%. The four isolates belonged to the cluster VIII along with *E. horamechei* subsp. *steigerwaltii*.
3.4. **wgANI identification**

Figure 2 further confirmed the results observed with k-mer based identification and *hsp60* sequencing. The red regions in the figure denote high relatedness values that range from 97% to 100%; these values represented isolates that belonged to the same species (Rodriguez-R & Konstantinidis, 2016).

ENM1 matched with *E. cloacae* subsp. *cloacae* ATCC13047 with a 99% similarity, accordingly ENM1 belonged to the phylogenetic group G. ENM5, ENM6, ENM7 and ENM8 were closely related (99%) to *Enterobacter hormaechei* subsp. *steigerwaltii*, and as such were classified as members of the phylogenetic group B.

ENM4 showed 100% similarity to ENM9, and the two isolates showed 99% similarity to *Enterobacter hormaechei* subsp. *hoffmannii* and were classified as members of phylogenetic group D. ENM3 was a member of phylogenetic group C along with *Enterobacter hormaechei* subsp. *oharae*.

ENM2, on the other hand, had discrepancies between its *hsp60* identification result and ANI matrix clustering. It showed 99% similarity to *Enterobacter cloacae* subsp. *xiangfangensis* and 97% to *E. hormaechei* subsp. *oharae* reference genomes, and as such it belonged to phylogenetic group A.
Figure 2 All vs All Average Nucleotide Identity (ANI) percentage relatedness matrix of ECC isolates and 6 reference genomes representing the five subspecies of *E. hormaechei* and *E. cloacae* subsp. *cloacae*. Red blocks represent isolate relatedness higher than 97% and white blocks indicate relatedness values lower than 96%.

3.5. **Pan genome and recombination analysis**

Pan genome and recombination analysis were performed for ECC and *K. aerogenes* isolates. The primary goal was to determine recombination hotspots.
that drive molecular and phylogenetic shifts. Accordingly, our focus will be to highlight and discuss some of the genes that were identified within the accessory genomes, and also to reveal the advantageous discrepancies.

ECC isolates shared a core genome of 2030 coding sequences, with a total count of 10186 CDS. The observed output was unusual but was due to the significant differences between the two studied species under the ECC (Figure 3).

ENM2 was the only isolate positive for $tssK$ and $vasK$ genes, which are part of the type VI secretion system. The additional accessory genes were identified to be within mobile genetic elements including plasmids and prophages. ENM4 and ENM9 on the other hand, showed less genetic diversity in its accessory genome, carrying genes for fimbrial proteins, insertion sequences (IS4), and some type VI secretion system proteins such as $evpB$. ENM6 and ENM8 showed a slight difference only pertaining to prophage sequences, while in ENM3, the accessory genome component only mapped to hypothetical proteins with some phage protein remnants. Finally, ENM1 was the most diverse as compared to the others, with a 65 kbp unique fragment containing numerous paralogs. ENM1 carried genes encoding for curli amyloid fibers such as $csgD$ and $csgA$.

*K. aerogenes* isolates shared a core genome of 4080 protein coding sequences with an additional 1959 shell genes attributed to mobile genetic elements. KAM2 and KAM3’s accessory genomes showed hits to phage tail proteins in addition to a high amount of hypothetical proteins. Likewise, KAM1 and KAM4 displayed phage insertion, assembly and tail proteins (Figure 4). KAM5 and KAM6 carried two important virulence associated genes. The first was $virB$, a virulence regulon that regulates the virulence gene cascade in*S.*
The second was HigB toxin, which inhibits protein synthesis in host cells (Hurley & Woychik, 2009; Kane & Dorman, 2012).

We plotted the recombination analysis data through the absence/presence matrices with its corresponding ML tree (Figure 5 & 6). Recombination blocks were plotted on the x axis, representing their genomic position relative to the Isolates. Metadata blocks describing total SNP count, total recombination blocks and total SNPs in recombination block were all studied. Figure 5 shows two major recombination blocks for the ECC isolates (blue circles). The first locus is sized at 240 Kbp and includes coding regions of significant importance such as the 16S ribosomal DNA gene, penicillin binding proteins, permeases, transporters and the housekeeping gene dnaA. The second block with a size of 100 Kbp that had ABC transporters and efflux pumps effector proteins highlighting its potential role in multi-drug resistance.

The alignment between KAM isolates yielded three minor recombination blocks. The first block is sized at 8 kbp and codes for efflux transport proteins. The second block was the largest being at 110 kbp and encodes the complete type VI secretion system machinery. Finally, the final 104 kbp block encoded for a lipid A biosynthesis protein along outer membrane protein suggesting *K. aerogenes* reliance on recombination to adopt a resistant phenotype.
Figure 3 Representation of pan genome analysis denoting gene absence and presence (right) with its associated accessory binary genes tree (left) of ECC isolates (ENM1 → ENM9). Adjacent blue blocks on the left represent core genes shared between isolates whilst scattered block on the right represent cloud and shell accessory genes.
Figure 4 Representation of pan genome analysis denoting gene absence and presence (right) with its associated accessory binary genes tree (left) of *K. aerogenes* isolates (KAM1 → KAM6). Adjacent blue blocks on the left represent core genes shared between isolates whilst scattered block on the right represent cloud and shell accessory genes.
Figure 5 Recombination blocks within the core genome alignment of ECC (ENM1→ENM9) isolates with relative metadata heatmap. Red blocks denote shared recombination sites and blue block signal independent recombination sites. Major recombination hotspots are marked with dark blue circles (n=2) emphasizing presence/absence of shared recombination.
Figure 6 Recombination blocks within the core genome alignment of *K. aerogenes* (KAM1→KAM6) isolates with relative metadata heatmap. Red blocks denote shared recombination sites and blue block signal independent recombination sites. Major recombination hotspots are marked with dark blue circles (n=3) emphasizing presence/absence of shared recombination.

3.6. Core genome (cg) SNP phylogenetic analysis

cgSNP-based phylogenetic characterization reflected close homology with the isolates’ identification results. In Figure 7, ENM1 formed a singleton clade with the greatest distance from the remaining isolates highlighting the heterogeneity within the polyphyletic ECC. ENM9 and ENM4 formed a
second clade while the remaining isolates 46% (n=7) clustered in the largest clade showcasing the small evolutionary distance between core genomes.

Figure 8 shows a similar topology as that seen in Figure 7. However, despite clustering in two different clades KAM1 and KAM4 show small-scale distance variations. Clade 3 revealed KAM5 and KAM6 as being almost identical with minimal SNP variation, while KAM3 was the closest to *K. aerogenes* KCTC2190.

Figure 7 RAxML generated clean core maximum-likelihood tree with 1000 bootstrap support elucidating the phylogenetic relationship between ECC isolates (ENM1→ENM9) with one *E. hormaechei* subsp. *oharae* reference genome (accession # LRJW01000000). MGEs and recombination events were removed prior to generating the tree.
3.7. Virulence factors

*In silico* k-type analysis through captive identified two different K-types. KAM1 and KAM4 (33%) were identified as K442, while KAM2, KAM3, KAM5 and KAM6 (66%) were identified as K42. It is worthy to note that there were missing genes in the *cps* locus (Figure 9). Seven missing genes for KAM3 and five for all the other isolates.

Using Kleborate, only KAM2 and KAM3 were found to carry genes encoding for the siderophores yersiniabactin and colibactin, which are important virulence determinants. KAM2 harbored the *ybt17* on the integrative conjugative element ICEkp10 isoform. The locus’ ST was 289 and had two allelic variants. KAM3 also had the *ybt17* with ST289 but didn’t show any locus variation. Moreover, KAM2 and KAM3 harbored the *clb3* colibactin locus, with KAM2 showing no variation while KAM3 having two cbST17 variants. Finally, all the KAM isolates carried a chromosomal *ampC* gene.
Figure 9 wzi loci alignment for all *K. aerogenes* isolates (n=6) with the locus allelic type shown. Purple color indicates genes that are present while beige indicates gene absence and deletions.

Table 6 Kleborate analysis output. Virulence and resistance systems detected along the yersiniabactin (*ybt*) and colibactin (*clb*) loci sequence type. A value of 0 indicates no hits, 1 = one identified system, 2 = two identified systems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>strain</th>
<th>virulence</th>
<th>Resistance</th>
<th>Yersiniabactin</th>
<th>YbST</th>
<th>Colibactin</th>
<th>CbST</th>
<th>bla</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KAM1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>AmpC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAM2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>ybt</em> 17; ICEKp10</td>
<td>289-2LV</td>
<td><em>clb</em> 3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>AmpC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAM3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>ybt</em> 17; ICEKp10</td>
<td>289-1LV</td>
<td><em>clb</em> 3</td>
<td>17-2LV</td>
<td>AmpC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAM4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>AmpC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAM5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>AmpC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAM6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>AmpC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.8. PFGE

Pulse-Field gel electrophoresis was performed to investigate the clonal relationship between the isolates (Figure 10A). Members of the ECC did not show any significant clonal relatedness. Based on the banding patterns and clustering, seven
distinct pulsotypes were detected. ENM4 and ENM9 had identical pulsotypes, whereas ENM6 and ENM8 showed 95% similarity.

In the case of the *K. aerogenes* isolates, KAM-1 and KAM-4 shared a high degree of clonal relatedness and clustered separately from KAM-2 and KAM-3 (Figure 10B). KAM-5 and KAM-6 remained untypable using both *XbaI* and *SmeI* as a secondary enzyme.
Figure 10: Pulse-field gel electrophoresis elucidating the clonality of isolates based on their banding pattern obtained through XbaI restriction digestion. Dendograms were generated using BioNumerics v7.6.1. A) PFGE-based dendogram for ECC isolates (n=9) showcasing 7 different pulsotypes. B) PFGE-based dendogram for K.aerogenes isolates (n=6) demonstrating 3 different pulsotypes.
3.9. **Sequence type characterization and molecular resistance profiling**

*In silico* (MLST) analysis was performed using seven housekeeping genes (*dnaA, fusA, gyrB, leuS, pyrG, rplB and rpoB*). In addition, MLST PCR assay was used to complement missing alleles (Miyoshi-Akiyama, 2013).

ENM-6 and ENM-8 were assigned to ST113 and ENM-2 was assigned to ST114. ENM-3 and ENM-7 were assigned to STs 198 and 50, respectively. ENM1, ENM-4, ENM-5 and ENM-9’s allelic profiles differed by one for four of the alleles from the closest ST profiles present in the database (table 7).

KAM-2 and KAM-3 were assigned STs 93 and 4, respectively. We couldn’t determine the ST’s of KAM-1, KAM-4, KAM-5 and KAM-6 (table 7).

On the other hand, all the studied isolates (n=15) harbored an *ampC* family β-lactamase gene (*blaCMH-3, blaACT-7/14/15/15 and blaDHA-1*) (figure 13). *Enterobacter* sp. has intrinsic resistance to penicillins and early generation cephalosporins due to chromosomal copies of *ampC* genes which confirmed the results from *in silico* resistance gene screening. *blaCTX-M-15* was associated with ENM1 and ENM2. Using PBRT, plasmidfinder and PLACNETw we couldn’t detect any plasmids in ENM1. This suggested that *blaCTX-M-15* was chromosomally encoded. In fact, blasting the sequence on NCBI and ISfinder, *blaCTX-M-15* was associated with ISEcp1 and a Tn2 like structures in both ENM1 and ENM2 (Figure 11).

Additionally, ENM-2 was the only isolate positive for *blaNDM-1, blaOXA-1, blaCTX-M-15, blaSHV-12 and blaACT-16* (figure 13). Also, aminoglycoside determinants resistance *aac(6')Ib-cr, aadA1, aac(3)-Iia* were detected in 27% (n=4) of the
isolates, and 20% (n=3) additionally harbored the fluoroquinolone resistance determinant qnrS1.

KAM5 and KAM6 both showed phenotypic resistance to all tested carbapenems. In silico analysis showed no carbapenemase encoding genes despite being positive for an IncF plasmid. Comparative analysis of the outer membrane protein (omp36) showed a truncation event only in KAM5 and KAM6. DNA sequences for both loci were extracted from the RASTtk and translated. Non-synonymous mutation was detected through a single nucleotide polymorphism (C→T) in a glutamine residue (CAG) introducing an amber (TAG) stop codon leading to a non-sense mutation in the omp36 locus (Figure 12).

Table 7 MLST allelic variations for all housekeeping genes loci for all isolates (N=15). Allelic combinations with no match were termed as novel and submitted to the database curators.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolate</th>
<th>Loci alleles</th>
<th>ST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dnaA</td>
<td>fusA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENM-1</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENM-2</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENM-3</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENM-4</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENM-5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENM-6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENM-7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENM-8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENM-9</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAM-1</td>
<td>Novel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAM-2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAM-3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAM-4</td>
<td>Novel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAM-5</td>
<td>Novel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAM-6</td>
<td>Novel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 11 bla<sub>CTX-m-15</sub> genetic environment comparison between ENM1 and ENM2. Both chromosomal and plasmid encoded copies of bla<sub>CTX-m-15</sub> are carried by an IS<sub>Ecp1-bla<sub>CTX-M-15-orf477</sub>-orf477</sub> transposition unit.

Figure 12 Alignment of outer membrane protein (omp36) encoding genes and their genetic environment. KAM1 → KAM4 show a functional omp36 gene sequence (red arrow) while KAM5 and KAM6 carry a truncated form of the gene (truncated red arrows).
3.10. Plasmid typing

46% (n=7) of isolates were positive for the IncF (IncFII, IncFIA, IncFIB) incompatibility group. ENM-2 was also positive for the IncH and IncN2 groups. ENM-3 and ENM-7 were positive for the IncR and IncI2 incompatibility replicons, respectively. These results were confirmed by plasmid-based replicon typing (PBRT), and it additionally revealed that 33% (n=4) of the isolates were positive for IncX3 (Table 8).

Table 8 Plasmid based replicon typing and in silico based plasmid incompatibility profiling of study isolates (n=15). IncF isoforms were identified in 46% (n=7) of isolates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolate</th>
<th>Plasmid Inc Types</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ENM-1</td>
<td>No hit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENM-2</td>
<td>IncFII, IncH1A, IncH1B, IncN2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENM-3</td>
<td>IncFII(K), IncR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENM-4</td>
<td>No hit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENM-5</td>
<td>IncX3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENM-6</td>
<td>IncFIB, IncFII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENM-7</td>
<td>IncI2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENM-8</td>
<td>IncFIB, IncFII, IncX3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENM-9</td>
<td>No hit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAM-1</td>
<td>IncX3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAM-2</td>
<td>IncFIIK, IncX3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAM-3</td>
<td>No hit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAM-4</td>
<td>No hit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAM-5</td>
<td>IncFIA, IncFII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAM-6</td>
<td>IncFIA, IncFII</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.11. Novel *bla*\textsubscript{NDM-1} plasmid

Plasmid sequences were extracted during assembly of ENM2 raw reads using the --plasmid option in SPAdes. Long read sequencing with the PacBio SMRT system yielded three contigs. The first, a 5Mbp contig representing genomic DNA, the second was a 213 kbp contig representing the IncHIA and IncHIB multi replicon plasmid. Finally, the third contig showed a 104 kbp fragment. Alignment of the 104 kbp fragment with the extracted plasmid
sequences showed a 100% coverage. Overlapping regions were manually removed, automatically annotated, manually curated and circularized (Figure 14).

pNDM1_ENM2 sequence was mapped to the NCBI database with BLASTn. Its nearest match was a 216378 bp plasmid designated as *E. coli* strain AR_0128 plasmid (CP021720) (Unpublished data). Query coverage was 100% with 0 E value and 99.98% identity coverage. pNDM1_ENM2 is an IncFII plasmid carrying only a *blaNDM-1* gene within a Tn125 isoform (figure 15).

Figure 14 Overview of plasmid pNDM1_ENM2. Complete sequence of the 104318 bp plasmid, fully annotated. *tra* genes are colored in blue, *parA* and *parB* genes colored in teal and IncFII *repA* gene colored in navy blue. *blaNDM-1* is flanked a bleomycin resistance gene (*mbl*) downstream and an *IS*Aba125 upstream.
Figure 15 Genetic environment of \textit{blanDM-1} allocated on the pDNM1_ENM2 plasmid. IS\textit{Ab125} (cyan) is found upstream of \textit{blanDM-1} (red), while a bleomycin resistance gene (orange) and a Tn5403 (navy blue) are found downstream.
Chapter Four

Discussion

Members of the Enterobacter cloacae complex and Klebsiella aerogenes are opportunistic pathogens. As our treatment options are depleting in an alarming manner both bacteria keep amassing and devising new mechanisms of resistance coupled with their unlimited ability to undergo perpetual phylogenomic renewal.

In this study, ECC isolates demonstrated the heterogeneity of the complex as 8/15 (53%) of study isolates were identified as native to the E. hormaechei species (Hoffman clusters III, VI and VIII). Surprisingly, only one isolate was recognized as an E. cloacae (Hoffman cluster XI). As a result of erroneous and outdated identification practices, E. hormaechei carriage tends to be underestimated in molecular epidemiological studies related to Enterobacter spp. (Annavajhala, Gomez-Simmonds, & Uhlemann, 2019). Local studies investigating Enterobacteriaceae spread in the region fail to correctly identify Enterobacter isolates (Herte, 2012; Moubareck et al., 2005). However, in studies specifically aimed at characterizing the predominant clinical species, E. hormaechei was found to be the most clinically relevant even in colonizing uncommon hospital apparel and prosthetic implants (Morand et al., 2009). With advances in sequencing technologies, population level analysis becomes more feasible and stringent suggesting a much-needed switch to WGS identification.
methods especially when tackling highly heterogenic phyla such as the ECC (Chavda et al., 2016; Kluytmans-van den Bergh et al., 2016).

Core genomic recombination is one of the key driving forces that aid in bacterial differentiation and adaptation, other than inter and intraspecies MGE exchange (Marttinen, Croucher, Gutmann, Corander, & Hanage, 2015). ECC isolates boast a significant recombination ratio at 1.04 where such forces are responsible for the complex’ heterogeneity (Paauw et al., 2008). Figure 5 shows two major recombination blocks shared by the ECC isolates within this study. Our results highlighted potential shared recombination events between all ECC isolates within the 16S rRNA gene. This is in accordance with the consensus that 16S sequencing proves inconsistent in the phylogenetic typing of ECC members (Chavda et al., 2016). In fact, evidence suggests that within the ECC the 16S rRNA genes were modeled overtime through recurrent recombination events (Sato & Miyazaki, 2017). Moreover, potential recombination events span penicillin binding proteins and membrane permeases suggesting a role in conferring non-enzymatic drug resistance.

In parallel, *K. aerogenes* favors recombination events skewed towards improving its invasiveness, resistance and survivability. Recombination blocks were detected in this study in loci coding for efflux transport proteins, outer membrane porins and lipid A biosynthesis (Figure 6). We detected a major recombination hotspot in type VI secretion system encoding loci indicating the potential in acquiring putative genes that aim at enhancing the effectiveness of the type VI secretion system in host
infection scenarios (Kirchberger, Unterweger, Provenzano, Pukatzki, & Boucher, 2017).

Analyzing the presence/absence pan genome block of ECC revealed great disparity between ENM1 and the rest (Figure 3). Within the large putative accessory block in ENM1, type IV secretion encoding loci were detected. Despite the importance of the type IV secretion system, there is scarce data about its presence and effect in *E. cloacae* isolates (Mezzatesta, Gona, & Stefani, 2012). More efforts have been directed at elucidating the presence of the type III secretion system within member of the ECC and its cytotoxic effect (Krzymińska, Mokracka, Koczura, & Kaznowski, 2009). The curli gene was also detected within the accessory block of ENM1. This gene encodes for curli amyloid fibers which function in facilitating cell to cell adhesion and is thought to have a role in biofilm formation (Mezzatesta, Gona, & Stefani, 2012). ENM2, ENM4 and ENM9 were all found to harbor a type VI secretion system assembly loci within the accessory genome. Although, these isolates are members of the same overall phylogenetic cluster they display large variation in their virulence determinants and ability to acquire such factors. This hints at the potential in finding selective virulence marker for the different opportunistic pathogens within the ECC, which could aid in treatment and identification in an outbreak scenario (Paauw et al., 2009).

The yersiniabactin and colibactin siderophores were detected in 2/15 (13%) of study isolates (Table 6). KAM2 and KAM3 were found to carry a chancy isoform ICE*Kp10* that coharbors the yersinbactin (*ybt*) and colibactin (*clb*) siderophores. *ICE*Kp10* is generally associated with *ybt17* locus and the *clb3*
 locus in the cargo region (Lam et al., 2018). A study by Arabaghian et al., presented a diverse set of ICEKp isoforms from multiple carbapenem resistant K. pneumoniae isolates recovered from clinical settings in Lebanon. However, none coharbored the ybt and clb suggesting positive selection for the isoform in K. aerogenes which enhance iron sequestration in hostile host environments and promotes an aggressive invasive phenotype (hypervirulence) in the absence of multi drug resistance (Arabaghian et al., 2019; Lam et al., 2018).

Two wzi (K-types) were identified within the K. aerogenes isolates. KL42 4/15 (26%) and KL442 2/15 (13%). Both K-types were not identified previously in the region (Arabaghian et al., 2019). Additionally, both K loci present novel deleterious structures KL42D1 and KL442D1(Figure 9). Such deletions from the K locus genes can be caused by recombination events within the central regions of the locus or CDS protein disruption by IS integration (Wyres et al., 2016).

ECC and K. aerogenes demonstrated high clonal diversity in this study. Multi-locus sequence types identified for the ECC included but are not limited to: ST113 2/15 (13%), ST50 1/15 (6%), ST198 1/15 (6%) and ST114 1/15 (6%) (Table7). ST114 has been identified as an epidemic clone and clonal complex CC114. This ST is generally associated with carriage of blaCTX-M-15 and blavim-1 and recently an NDM-1 Enterobacter hormaechei subsp. xiangfangensis epidemic clone has been detected from 37 countries (Izdebski et al., 2015; Peirano et al., 2018). In contrast ST198 was only associated with ESBL carriage, mainly blaCTX-M-9 variant and was not classified as CREC in any outbreak report (Izdebski et al., 2015). Four
novel STs were identified in this study with their allelic variation detailed in table 7. This revealed a gap in the data related to the clonality of ECC members in the region.

Despite *K. aerogenes*’s role as a successful opportunistic pathogen and its ability to integrate MGEs and acquire extreme drug resistance, data on its clonal diversity and geographical dissemination is currently scarce (Malek et al., 2019). KAM2 and KAM3 sequence types were identified as ST93 and ST4, respectively (table 7). ST93 and ST4, currently represent the dominant international clones that are involved in outbreak of HAI scenarios (Male et al., 2019). KAM1, KAM4, KAM5 and KAM6 all represent novel STs confirming the lack in data about species diversity, global distribution and epidemic clone identification.

Under the selective positive pressure of treatment *K. aerogenes* has been shown to introduce such mutations into outer membrane porins. A combination of such mutations with overexpression of chromosomal *ampC* leads to pan-carbapenem resistance (Davin-Regli & Pagès, 2015). KAM5 and KAM6 displayed carbapenemase independent resistant phenotype despite harboring incFII and incFIA plasmids (table 8). *K. aerogenes*’ main carbapenem resistance mechanism involves porin alterations and overproduction of chromosomal *ampC* gene (table 6). As shown in figure 11, a non-sense mutation was induced in the *omp36* gene, thus reducing potential uptake of harmful molecules. The most alarming issue is the fact that this is a reversible phenotype which is only governed by treatment with carbapenems. Therefore, *K. aerogenes* has a highly volatile adaptability that
can be summoned at will and under the correct selective forces (Davin-Regli & Pagès, 2015; Malek et al., 2019; Sanders & Sanders, 1997).

In contrast, ECC members are becoming one of the key drivers of enzyme dependent carbapenem resistance within the Enterobacteriaceae family (Peirano et al., 2018). CREC is imposing a massive burden on healthcare system around the globe, especially in the USA where it has become the second most widespread carbapenem resistant Enterobacteriaceae (Annavajhala, Gomez-Simmonds, & Uhlemann, 2019; Chavda et al., 2016). ENM1 showed no plasmid carriage, yet its genome analysis showed that it harbors bla_{CTX-M-15} ESBL gene. This hints at the potential integration of the CTX-M-15 transposition unit in the chromosome within a recombination hotspot. Blast analysis of the ISEcp1 and a Tn2 like transposition unit (Guenther et al., 2017) showed similarity with the \textit{bla}_{CTX-M-15} allocated on the incHIA/incHIB plasmid in ENM2 (figure 10). The observed resistance genotype in ENM1 can only be a testament to the high genome plasticity of \textit{E. cloacae} and can serve as a countdown for the development of pan-resistant super bugs through vertical gene transfer (Kluytmans-van den Bergh et al., 2016).

pNDM1_ENM2, a novel incFII plasmid was identified as a 104311 bp \textit{blan}_{NDM-1} bearing plasmid from \textit{Enterobacter hormaecheii} subsp. \textit{xiangfangensis} ST114 (Figure 13). \textit{blan}_{NDM-1}’s genetic environment is almost identical to that of a Tn125 where the gene is flanked by two ISA\textit{aba}125 upstream and downstream. However, the \textit{blan}_{NDM-1}-\textit{ble}_{MBL} genes were flanked by a downstream ISA\textit{aba}125 and an upstream Tn5403 (Rinkel, Hubert, Roux, & Lett, 1994) which is from the family of Tn3-like
transposons (Figure 14) (Arabaghian et al., 2019). NDM-1’s transposon genetic shared 98% homology to an NDM locus allocated on an incFII plasmid carried by an *E. hormaechei* subsp. *oharae* in Brazil (Carvalho-Assef et al., 2014) suggesting global epidemic spread of *bla*<sub>NDM-1</sub>-incFII associated plasmids.

Recently antimicrobial susceptibility data from 13 hospitals in Lebanon were published temporarily substituting for the lack official national AMR data (Moghnieh et al., 2019). Surprisingly, no data was present about the carriage and dissemination of AMR in ECC members and *K. aerogenes* (Moghnieh et al., 2019). Also, local studies have tackled the topic of AMR was tackled only by performing phenotypic based screening and PCR assays to assess ESBL and carbapenem resistance gene carriage in Enterobacteriaceae (Daoud et al., 2017). To our knowledge, this is the first study that performs epidemiological and comprehensive WGS based end to end bioinformatics data analysis on members of the *E. cloacae* complex and *K. aerogenes* isolates, in Lebanon and the region.
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